

Nursing Research, Advanced Practice, and Simulation

## Interdisciplinary Development of Electronic Palliative Care Decision Support

Ruth A. Bush, PhD, MPH

University of San Diego Beyster Institute for Nursing Research

## DISCLOSURE

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

#### CO-AUTHORS

## Beyster Institute of Nursing Research, University of San Diego

- Caroline Etland, PhD
- Tanja Baum, PhD
- Jane M. Georges, PhD
- Cynthia D. Connelly, PhD, FAAN

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This project was supported in part by grant number R00 HS022404 from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represents the official views of Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

#### **Sharp Healthcare System**

Jack Zito, Clinical Analytics Analyst

#### LEARNING OBJECTIVES

After participating in this session the learner should be able to:

- Define palliative care.
- Develop a greater understanding of the challenges and complexities of using analytics and clinical documentation to support improved bedside care.
- Understand how the EHR might be used for identification of patients who might benefit from palliative care.

#### BACKGROUND

- Palliative care (PC) is patient and familycentered medical care
- Prevents or treats symptoms and side effects of serious disease and treatment; not hospice
- Associated with more efficient resource use and decreased medical costs
- Ideally PC is proactive and begun early in the illness; many PC consultations are reactive and occur in the acute care setting
- Frequently patients who would benefit from palliative care are not readily identified



#### CONCEPT

- Provide automated electronic algorithms/clinical decision support to alert clinicians and trigger PC assessment
  - Earlier identification of patients
  - Provide more appropriate referrals to specialized care
  - Facilitate more rapid communication among patients, caregivers, and clinicians

## GOAL

- Create report with data elements derived from information already gathered and "readily" available in the EHR
  - Reduce consuming manual chart screening
  - Lessen time attending rounds to identify potential patients and increase time spent with patients

## **NOVEL APPROACH**

- Few published examples of interdisciplinary teams engaging and designing health information systems to support team processes
- Formed clinical-academic partnership between Southern California health system and a school of nursing
  - Research scientists
  - Palliative care nurses
  - Physicians
  - EHR report writers



#### IDENTIFYING DATA ELEMENTS



- Purposive sample of health professionals and EHR programmers
  - Members of the healthcare system's palliative care steering group
  - Six nurses
  - Four physicians
  - One administrator
  - Ten other clinicians and support staff
- Identified stakeholders/end users suggested needed information based on their expertise using semistructured interviews

#### CRITERIA LIST REVIEW PROCESS

- Initial list of data points edited for redundancies
- Pathways were created to draw data from different EHR databases
- Negotiation of data points to create draft report of patient demographics, resource utilization, and clinical indicators reflecting place in workflow
- Significant methodological question:
  - Alert to the clinician taking care of the patient?
  - Report of possible patients sent to the palliative care team?
- Eight iterations

#### IDENTIFIED DATA ELEMENTS

#### **Demographics/Administrative**

- Patient Name
- Unit/Bed
- Admit Date
- Age
- Advance directives



#### **Clinical History**

- Two or more hospitalizations or ED visits in one year
- Admission from LTC
- Admission from hospice or prior hospice enrollment
- Any ICU stay in the last year
- Any ICU stay greater than 7 days
- Readmission in the last 30 days (any cause)

#### CLINICAL INDICATORS AND RESOURCE UTILIZATION

- Current symptoms
  - Difficulty swallowing
  - Unresponsive
  - O2 dependent
  - Ventilator dependent or assisted/full support

- Therapeutic Approaches
  - Permanent tracheostomy
  - Dialysis catheter
  - Chest tube insertion
  - Pain pump
  - Intubations (excluding OR)
  - Therapeutic Hypothermia

#### CLINICAL INDICATORS AND RESOURCE UTILIZATION

- Mobility
  - Unable to move up in bed
  - Unable to sit on edge of bed
  - Foot boots
  - Specialty bed
  - Activity 2-person assist
  - Feeding: total assistance or tube
  - Quadriplegia

- Other Elements
  - Laboratory Values
  - Anuric
  - PaO2
  - Ejection fraction
  - FEV
  - Confusion Assessment Method

#### VALIDATION I

 Randomized sample of 694 patients enrolled in palliative care services at January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2015 in community health system

 Nearly all patients (97.6%) who would have been identified by the trigger list had been seen by a palliative care nurse

### VALIDATION II

- Successfully matched variables: admission date, gender, ethnicity, religion, language, age, insurance, code status, completion of an advance directive, ED visits, ICU admissions, less than 30 day readmission, and if patient were on hospice within the last 24 months
- Manual review needed to provide clinical details: difficulty swallowing, unresponsiveness, oxygen dependency, inability to move self in bed, inability to sit in bed, and presence of a palliative care or hospice note

#### Palliative Care Trigger List

		58					
	ببالنجمه	Inpt/ED 2+ 1Yr: Yes	Unresponsive	Pain Pump:	Feeding Tube:	Calcium:	Intubate:
89/M FI	N: <b>000-10006</b>	ICU Stay 1Yr: Yes	O2 Dependent:	BIPAP: Yes	Dialysis Cath:	PeO2:	Foot Boots:
Admit: 06/	16/2016	ICU > 7 Days:	Move up in bed: Una	able	Chest Tube:	Creatinine: 2.1 [06/20/2016 05:04]	Specialty Bed: Yes
Room:		30 Day Readm: Yes	Sit edge of bed: Una	sble	Pro SNP: 1746 [06/19/2016 06:01]	CAM:	2 Person Assist; Ye
	Home/Physician Referral	Prior Hospice:	Diff Swallow:		Albumin:	Intraspinal Cath:	Quadraplegia:
	d Score: 11	Advance Dir: None			Anuric;		
		Inpt/ED 2+ 1Yr:	Unresponsive	Pain Pump:	Feeding Tube:	Calcium: 7.1 [06/21/2016 06:48]	Intubate:
93/M FI	N:	ICU Stay 1Yr: Yes	O2 Dependent:	BIPAP:	Dialysis Cath:	PaO2:	Foot Boots: Yes
Admit: 08/	17/2016	ICU > 7 Days:	Move up in bed: Una	able	Chest Tube:	Creatinine: 2.8 [06/18/2016 12:08]	Specialty Bed: Yes
Room:		30 Day Reedm:	Sit edge of bed: Una	ible	Pro BNP:	CAM:	2 Person Assist: Ye
_	Home/Physician Referrat	Prior Hospice:	Diff Swallow:		Albumin: 2.1 [06/20/2016 07:08]	Intraspinal Cath:	Quadraplegia:
Positive In	d Score: 11	Advance Dir: Not Doc	umented		Anuric: Indwelling catheter		
	and a	InptED 2+ 1Yr:	Unresponsive	Pain Pump:	Feeding Tube:	Calcium: 7.8 [06/21/2016 07:25]	Intubate:
91/F F	N:	ICU Stay 1Yr:	O2 Dependent:	BIPAP: Yes	Dialysis Ceth:	PaO2:	Foot Boots: Yes
Admit: 08/1	17/2016	ICU > 7 Days:	.Move up In bed: Una	nble	Chest Tube:	Crestinine:	Specialty Bed:
Room:		30 Day Readm: Yes	Sit edge of bed: Una	ible	Pro BNP: 4372 [06/21/2016 07:25]	CAM:	2 Person Assist: Ye
	Home/Physician Referral	Prior Hospica:	Diff Swallow:		Albumin:	Intraspinal Cath:	Quadraplegía:
	d Score: 10	Advance Dir; None			Anuric: Voiding, no difficulties		
نجدي		Inpt/ED 2+ 1Yr:	Unresponsive	Pain Pump:	Feeding Tube:	Calcium:	Intubate:
96/M FI	N: Translation	ICU Stay 1Yr: Yes	O2 Dependent Yes	BIPAP:	Dialysis Cath:	PaO2:	Foot Boots:
Admit: 08/1	17/2016	ICU > 7 Days:	Move up In bed: Una	sble	Chest Tube:	Creatinine:	Specially Bed:
Room:		30 Day Readm: Yes	Sit edge of bed: Une	ble	Pro BNP:	CAM:	2 Person Assist: Ye
-	Home/Physician Referral	Prior Hospice: "Yes	Diff Swallow:		Albumin:	Intraspinal Cath:	Quadraplegia:
	d Score: 8	Advance Dir: None			Anuric: Indwelling catheter		
-		Inpt/ED 2+ 1Yr:	Unresponsive	Pain Pump:	Feeding Tube:	Calclum: 1.14 ionized [06/20/2016 04:48]	Intubate:
81/M FI	N THE STATE OF THE	ICU Stay 1Yr: Yes	O2 Dependent:	BIPAP:	Dialysis Cath:	PaO2:	Foot Boots:
Admit: 06/1	19/2016	ICU > 7 Days:	Move up in bed: Una	ible	Chest Tube:	Creatinine:	Specialty Bed: Yes
Room:		30 Day Readm:	Sit edge of bed: Una	ble	Pro BNP:	CAM:No, delirium not present	2 Person Assist: Ye
	Home/Physician Referral	Prior Hospice:	Diff Swallow: Unable	to assess	Albumin:	Intraspinal Cath:	Quadraplegla:

Run Date: 06/21/2016 12:35

## ADDITIONAL FINDINGS

- Approximately 10 months from concept to first version of patient identification list
- Currently, in 343 bed hospital PC team has 1.5 full time equivalents to work on 80-90 referrals per month
  - Approach may be most appropriate as foundation for beginning PC services or in situations where there remains some reluctance to refer
- Trigger list versus clinical implementation
  - Sometimes physicians do not want their patients seem by PC team
  - Patients or families decline the services
  - Trigger list is only one piece of the clinical picture

## SUMMARY

- Diverse stakeholder identification critical
- Provide list of data element groupings and methods used for analytics
- Evolution of feasibility study with pragmatic interdisciplinary approach
  - Facilitate screening of patients who were in or about to be in distress
  - Provide more appropriate referrals to needed specialized care
  - Support more rapid communication among patients, caregivers, and clinicians in order to improve access to palliative care
  - Demonstrate an applied approach to integrating CDS in the clinical setting
- Showcases some of the challenges associated with working with data extracted from a clinical environment

#### REFERENCES

Allsop MJ, Kite S, McDermott S, et al. Electronic palliative care coordination systems: Devising and testing a methodology for evaluating documentation. *Palliat Med.* 2017;31(5):475–82.

Hocker JD, Khan A, Singh M, et al. Can the electronic health record identify vulnerable older adults in need of a palliative care assessment in the hospital setting? J Am Geriatr Soc. 2015;63(7):1479–81.

Tsavatewa C, Musa PF, Ramsingh I. Integration of footprints information systems in palliative care: The case of Medical Center of Central Georgia. *J Med Syst.* 2012;36(3):1511–21.

Wysham NG, Hua M, Hough CL, et al. Improving ICU-based palliative care delivery: A multicenter, multidisciplinary survey of critical care clinician attitudes and beliefs. *Crit Care Med.* 2017;45(4):e372–78.

# Thank you! rbush@sandiego.edu

