Quality of Life, Community Integration, and Behavioral Health Outcomes in Military Burn Survivors

Linda H. Yoder, PhD, MBA, RN, AOCN, FAAN  
School of Nursing, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA  
David C. McFall, PhD  
The Community Care Collaborative, Austin, TX, USA  
Dale Glaser, PhD  
Statistics, The University of San Diego and San Diego State University, San Diego, CA, USA

Purpose:

Military burn survivors treated in the Military Burn Center are typically not included in other national burn studies. The purpose of this study was to examine the quality of life (QOL), post-traumatic stress, depression, and community integration outcomes of military burn survivors for a period of 18 months after burn center discharge.

Methods:

This study consisted of a descriptive longitudinal design. The Roy Adaptation Model was used as the conceptual framework for this study. Data were collected using the Burn Specific Health Scale-Abbreviated (BSHS-A), the Short-Form -36 (SF-36), the Post-traumatic Stress Checklist-Military (PCL-M), the Centers for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CESD), the Community Integration Questionnaire (CIQ), and demographic/clinical questionnaires. Data were collected from the patients and their medical records at burn center discharge, 3, 6, 12, and 18 months post-discharge. Measures of central tendency, correlations and multilevel modeling were used to analyze the data.

Results:

There were 77 participants in this study. They were primarily active duty Army, Caucasian males, with at least a high school education and an average income between $20,000-40,000 per year. The burn survivors had a total body surface area burned of 24% (median = 17%) with full thickness burns accounting for 14% (median = 6%); their average length of stay in the burn center was 44 days (median = 17 days). The participants demonstrated improved QOL on most measures over time. The BSHS-A physical, mental, and general health domain scores showed statistically significant improvement over time but the affective and social domain scores did not significantly differ over time. However, the SF-36 physical and mental component means scores were significantly different over time. These scores also were better than those of the normed scores for the U.S. healthy population and above the 75th percentile in both cases. The CIQ scores showed significant, albeit slight differences over time but patients did not return to their pre-burn perceptions of their community integration. Post-traumatic stress and depression scores did not differ significantly over time but none of the overall mean scores indicated positive screening for post-traumatic stress or depression, although scores did fluctuate slightly over time. Regarding post-traumatic stress, 66.2% of the total variability was attributable to between individual differences. Similarly, 61.6% of the total variability in CESD scores was attributable to between individual differences.

Conclusion:

Although the QOL and behavioral health outcomes of the military burn survivors did change over time, there were fluctuations in some of the instrument scores that indicated a possible need for psychosocial interventions at various time points to facilitate continued rehabilitation. Psychiatric clinical nurse specialists and case managers could provide ongoing assessments and interventions as needed.
Longitudinal research with all burn survivors should continue in the outpatient setting. Return to work status should be included in subsequent research with military burn survivors as well as their ability to remain in the military. Qualitative research should be integrated into the studies to better determine the patients’ perspectives about the quantitative results at the various time points in the rehabilitation trajectory.
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Abstract Summary:
This was a longitudinal study of military burn survivors after they were treated at the military burn center located at Fort Sam Houston, Texas. Quality of life, satisfaction with life, community integration, post-traumatic stress and depression were outcomes of interest over 18 months post-burn center discharge.
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