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Background:

• Worldwide migration (WHO 2014, UN 2016).
• Impacts on health care in host countries
To explore and synthesize literature on the health care experiences of migrants.
Method

• A meta-ethnography review of qualitative research

• Search: Eight databases, January 2006 till June 2016.


• Qualitative Assessment – CASP

• Data analyses: Noblit and Hare’s seven step meta ethnography process.
Prisma flowchart diagram

Identification:
- Records identified through database searching (n=301)
- Additional records identified through other sources (n=25)

Screening:
- Records after duplicates removed (n=264)

Eligibility:
- Records screened (n=264) → Full-text articles assessed for eligibility (n=62)

Included:
- Records excluded (n=202) → Records excluded (n=35)
- Studies included in qualitative synthesis (n=27)
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Results:

27 studies were included. Five key contextualization dimensions were identified:

- Personal factors;
- The healthcare system;
- Accessing healthcare;
- The encounter
- Healthcare experiences.

These five areas all underline the uniqueness of each individual migrant emphasizing the need to treat a person rather than a population.
Personal factors:
This dimension comprises a number of constructs that define a migrant patient's personality.

- a person's enculturation or society of origin with its religious or value system, but also life experiences.
- exceptionally traumatic experiences
Healthcare system:

The healthcare system and legal framework of the host country determines the care provision. Depending on the healthcare model, service provision and the quality of care might differ between a migrants' home country and their host.

- This can result in a mismatch between the care provided and the individual’s expectations of the healthcare system.
Access to Healthcare:

This dimension addressed the barriers or enablers to healthcare in the host country. When a need for services is identified by migrants, their socio-economic and legal status would appear to affect their access to services.

- Need to know how to go about such access.
- Language difficulties and lack of information
- Service providers can prove to be gatekeepers.

Migrants may use alternative health-seeking strategies.
The Encounter:

When an individual migrant does access the services, the way they are treated as a person and as a patient is determined by the staff they encounter.
- Problematic due to language difficulties and a lack of mutual knowledge of how to act in a culturally appropriately way.
- Misunderstandings of what an individual migrant wants from service providers, what they are used to in their own country.

It is therefore important for service providers to treat the individual in an holistic manner, ascertaining their expectations and perceived need. Within a true person-centred approach, the individual’s cultural background is fundamental to effective care.
The Healthcare experiences:

Healthcare needs as being ‘met’ or ‘unmet’. Healthcare needs need to be satisfied in terms of emotional support or information. (Lindemeyer et al 2016)

- The overall positive or negative evaluation of a healthcare experience depends on whether a migrant patient feels their care, information needs and expectations have been met or not. Migrants use the familiar healthcare system of their home country as a template to compare and evaluate the care they received in the host country.
Model:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Background</th>
<th>Access to healthcare (in host country)</th>
<th>The encounter</th>
<th>The healthcare experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Factors:</td>
<td>Factors: Personal</td>
<td>Factor:</td>
<td>Factors:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enculturation (country of origin)</td>
<td>Relationship migrant and Healthcare professional</td>
<td>Comparison with care in home country; Acceptance of host country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Life experience and context</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Openness/willingness to integrate into new country</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Network (supportive/unsupportive)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors:</td>
<td>Knowledge about entitlement to care</td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare system</td>
<td>Socio-economic status</td>
<td></td>
<td>Needs and expectations met (information, care)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Legal status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identified/acknowledged need for healthcare</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service provision and quality</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Legislation and entitlement to care</td>
<td></td>
<td>Patient needs and expectations not met (information, care)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>'Gatekeeping' by healthcare staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No or insufficient access to care

Adaptation and use of alternative Health-seeking strategies
Clinical relevance:

• The proposed model facilitates identification of points of weakness in the care for migrant patients.
• Employing a person-centred care approach, may contribute to improve health outcomes for migrant patients.