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LEARNING OUTCOMES

Upon completion of this educational activity, participants will be able to:

1. Learn what happens to a manuscript from the moment it is submitted until a final decision is reached
2. Review a manuscript
3. Discuss your review and compare it to the work of the Editorial Board.
WHO ARE WE? WHO ARE YOU?

• Where are you from?
• Have you previously reviewed for a journal?
• What do you want to achieve at the end of this pre-conference workshop?
WHAT IS THE REVIEW PROCESS?

• Why is peer review a part of the scholarly publishing process?
• Upon submission, reviewed by Editor-in-Chief. Does it meet the aims and scope of the journal?
• Reviewers selected based on areas of expertise
• Number of reviewers depend on the type of manuscript
• Upon completion of reviews, options are reject, revise (major or minor revisions) or accept
WHY DO REVIEWERS REVIEW?

- Fulfill an academic ‘duty’
- Keep up-to-date with latest developments
- Helps with their own research
- Build associations with prestigious journals and editors
- Remain aware of new research
- Develop one’s career
CONDUCTING THE REVIEW - ORIGINALITY

- Sufficiently novel and interesting to warrant publication?
- Adds to the canon of knowledge?
- Answers an important research question?
- Satisfies the journal’s standards?
- Falls in the top 25% of papers in this field?
- A literature scan of review articles can help the reviewer determine originality
STRUCTURE OF THE MANUSCRIPT

• Has the author read the author guidelines? It won’t take long before you know.
• Format, APA, headings, key sections…. These all need to be clear
• Simulation Reporting Guidelines
SENDING YOUR REPORT TO THE EDITOR

- Anticipate the deadline
- Summarize the article at the top of your report
- The report should be comprehensive
- Explain and support your judgments
- Make a distinction between your own opinions and your comments based on data
- Be courteous and constructive
SAMPLE REVIEW

• Some are good, some have room for improvement
GROUP A: NON-RESEARCH MANUSCRIPT

- Please read this manuscript and be ready to provide a critique
- Once you are done your review, you will be provided with examples of actual reviewer comments the same work that you reviewed
- Compare their review to yours. What are the differences/similarities?
GROUP B: RESEARCH MANUSCRIPT

• Please read this manuscript and be ready to provide a critique
• Once you are done your review, you will be provided with examples of actual reviewer comments the same work that you reviewed
• Compare their review to yours. What are the differences/similarities?
LARGE GROUP DISCUSSION

• How did you feel working through the manuscript review?
• Did you feel prepared to be able to complete the review?
• Did you notice any themes or patterns between your review and those from experience reviewers?
• What did you learn in this workshop that prepared you to complete a review?

• So…. Do you think you are ready to be a reviewer?
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