Introduction

- Worldwide reviews can synthesize a large amount of information
- Nurse-led reviews demonstrate:
  - Discrepancies in definitions
  - Inconsistent methods
  - Varied approaches to analysis

Purpose

- This review describes the qualities of systematic and integrative reviews in current nursing literature

Methods

- The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guided this review
- Cumulative Index of Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) database was searched
  - Terms: integrative review OR systematic review
- Inclusion criteria:
  - search strategy described
  - published between 2013 and 2015.
- Limiters:
  - first author is nurse
  - abstract available
  - written in English

Search Strategy

- 152 reviews met inclusion criteria from years 2013 (29%), 2014 (38%), and 2015 (33%)
- Representing nations such as the United States (56%), UK (16%), and Canada (6%)

Results

- Literature Search

Conclusions

- Characteristics of reviews and reporting varied
- Concept comparison/analysis needed
- Specific journal guidelines
- Education needed on review process
- Authors
- Reviewers
- Student authors