Suctioning of the Neonate on Bubble Nasal Continuous Positive Airway Pressure: Building the Evidence

Esther Chipps, PhD, RN, NEA-BC (Study Mentor, Co-PI)
Nurse Scientist, Associate Professor of Clinical Nursing
The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center
The Ohio State University, College of Nursing





Research Team Members

- Michele Sweet MS, RN, CNS-Neo (PI)
 Nurse Educator, Nationwide Children's Hospital, NICU
- Debra Armbruster PhD, NNP-BC, Neonatal NP (Co-I) Nationwide Children's Hospital, NICU
- Erin Bainbridge RN, BSN, Staff RN (Honors Student)
- Briana Reiner RN, BSN, Staff RN (Honors Student)
- Alai Tan, PhD, MS, MD, Statistician, OSU College of Nursing







Study funded through a grant from the National Association of Neonatal Nurses-Small Grants Award Program







| Faculty Name | Esther Chipps |
|----------------------------|---|
| Conflict of Interest | None |
| Employer | The Ohio State University |
| Sponsor/Commercial Support | National Association of Neonatal Nurses |







Session Objectives

- To provide an overview of steps involved in building clinical evidence.
- To share the results of a pilot study testing a clinical guideline of suctioning of the neonate on Nasal Continuous Positive Airway Pressure.





Background and Significance

- Nasal CPAP provides a means of respiratory support for spontaneous breathing neonates with respiratory distress syndrome.
- Maintenance of a patent airway while the neonate is on Nasal Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (NCPAP) requires vigilant monitoring and oral/nasopharyngeal suctioning.









- NCPAP results in better outcomes than mechanical ventilation with less barotrauma and decreased bronchopulmonary dysplasia.
- The success of bubble NCPAP is linked to scrupulous airway management and management of increased secretions.
- Currently, there are no evidence-based practice guidelines for suctioning while neonates are on Bubble NCPAP.





Previous Study: Nasal Continuous Positive Airway Pressure. A Multisite Study of Suctioning Practices within NICUs.

- Mann and colleagues¹ conducted a cross-sectional multi-site (8 NICUs) descriptive survey to evaluate suctioning techniques of RNs and RTs caring for infants on NCPAP.
- Survey focused on
 - frequency of suctioning practice
 - assessment parameters
 - suctioning techniques

1. Mann, B. et al. (2013). Nasal continuous positive airway pressure. A multisite study of suctioning practices within NICUs. *Advances in Neonatal Care*, *13*(20), E1-9.







- Wide range of suctioning practices among RNs/RTs (n=322) and even among clinicians in the same NICU.
- Differences noted in frequency of suctioning, gloving, the use of saline, number of catheters used and hyperoxygenation techniques.







 To characterize the clinical and behavioral response of neonates on Bubble Nasal CPAP (NCPAP) in a Level III following routine oral and nasopharyngeal suctioning.







- Pilot study using a one sample repeated measure design in which neonates (n=16) served as their own control.
- Data collected included heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (RR), O₂ saturation and Premature Infant Pain Profile (PIPP).
- Study conducted in a 49 bed Level III NICU in a large academic medical center/ Children's Hospital.





Sample



- Inclusion criteria
 - On Bubble NCPAP
 - 27-32 weeks gestation
 - Older than 3 days of life and less than 7 days of life
 - Had legally authorized representative
 - Clinical stable as determined by NICU team

Exclusion criteria

- Facial/cranial abnormalities
- Chromosomal/genetic abnormalities
- Congenital heart abnormalities
- Chest tube







- An oral and nasopharyngeal NCPAP suctioning guideline was developed based on findings of our initial survey of RN and RT practices.
- This was taken to focus groups of clinical neonatal experts.
- The clinical experts came to consensus on best practices.
- This was the protocol that was tested.





Suctioning Procedure

- Perform hand hygiene and don non-sterile gloves.
- Increase Fi02 by 5-10% via blow-by or CPAP, to maintain Sa0₂ targets.
- Remove chin strap, if in use, and remove CPAP prongs from nares.
- Assess nares and columella redness, breakdown or visible secretions.
- Infant assessed and prepared for procedure (swaddling and sucrose pacifier).
- Oropharyngeal suctioning performed first followed by nasopharyngeal suctioning.
- Reassess infant immediately post suctioning.
- Return nasal prongs and wean oxygen to pre-procedure amount.





Suctioning Sequence

- The designated study RNs (established IRR) and collaborating care giving RN determined and recorded the start of the suctioning periods.
- Other team members included 2 SNs and NNP who were study recorders/observers.

Observation 1 Pre-suctioning

Observation 2
During suctioningwithdrawal of catheter from
mouth

Observation 3 + 4
During suctioningwithdrawal of catheter from
left/right nares

Observation 5 Immediately postsuctioning-nasal prongs back to nares

Observation 6
Post-suctioning return to 10% of baseline





Outcome Measures

- Heart Rate
- Respiratory Rate
- SaO₂
- Premature Infant Pain Profile (PIPP)
 - Seven indicators gestational age, behavioral state,
 HR max, SaO₂ min, brow bulge, eye squeeze, and
 nasolabial furrow
 - Range 0-21; 0-6=no/mild pain; 7-12 moderate pain;
 ≥ 13 severe pain





Premature Infant Pain Profile

| Process | Indicator | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Chart | Gestational age | 36 weeks and more | 32-35 weeks, 6 days | 28-31 weeks, 6 days | Less than 28 weeks |
| Observe infants for 15 sec | Behavioral state | Active/awake; eyes open; facial movements | Quiet/awake eyes open; No facial movements | Active/asleep; eyes closed; facial movements | Quiet/asleep; eyes closed; no facial movements |
| Observe baseline HR and O ₂ sat for 30 sec | Heart Rate Max | 0 bpm increase | 5-14 bpm increase | 15-24 bpm increase | 25 or more bpm increase |
| Observe baseline HR and O ₂ sat for 30 sec | O ₂ Saturation Min | 92-100% | 89-91% | 85-88% | Less than 84% |
| Observe infants facial actions -30 sec | Brow Bulge | None | Minimum | Moderate | Maximum |
| Observe infants facial actions -30 sec | Eye Squeeze | None | Minimum | Moderate | Maximum |
| Observe infants facial actions -30 sec | Nasolabial furrow | None | Minimum | Moderate | Maximum |







- Descriptive statistics to describe sample characteristics and neonates physiological and behavioral measures.
- Mixed effects modeling for repeated measures.







| Sample Characteristics | | | | | |
|------------------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Characteristics | Statistics | All (n=16) | | | |
| Gestation age | Mean | 29.76 | | | |
| | SD | 1.49 | | | |
| | Median | 29.6 | | | |
| | Min | 27.6 | | | |
| | Max | 31.6 | | | |
| Days of life | Mean | 3.44 | | | |
| | SD | 1.15 | | | |
| | Median | 3 | | | |
| | Min | 2 | | | |
| | Max | 7 | | | |





Physiological Measures During Suctioning Sequence

| | | | | Mear | n (SD) | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----|--------------|------------------|---------------|------------|
| | | N | Heart Rate | Respiratory rate | O2 Saturation | PIPP Score |
| Baseline | | 16 | 158.9 (9.7) | 45.5 (10.4) | 97.4 (2.6) | 4.2 (1.2) |
| After suctionin right naris | g of the | | | | | |
| | 1 st pass | 14 | 160.0 (13.9) | 41.5 (8.8) | 93.3 (6.0) | 7.7 (2.1) |
| | 2 nd pass | 1 | 160.0 (n/a) | 39.0 (n/a) | 79.0 (n/a) | 10.0 (n/a) |
| After suctionin left naris | g of the | | | | | |
| | 1st pass | 14 | 154.7 (17.5) | 40.0 (9.5) | 94.4 (4.4) | 7.1 (2.0) |
| | 2 nd pass | 2 | 167.5 (3.5) | 57.0 (18.4) | 94.0 (4.2) | 8.0 (2.8) |
| After suctioning of mouth | | | | | | |
| | 1st pass | 16 | 158.6 (16.9) | 42.8 (10.9) | 94.4 (4.4) | 7.7 (3.4) |
| | 2nd pass | 8 | 157.5 (23.8) | 45.5 (15.9) | 93.1 (6.4) | 7.5 (2.9) |
| | 3 rd pass | 2 | 171.5 (0.7) | 43.0 (9.9) | 87.5 (4.9) | 8.0 (n/a) |
| Immediate pos procedure | t | | | | | |
| | 1st pass | 15 | 166.8 (17.5) | 43.4 (13.8) | 94.4 (4.3) | 7.2 (2.4) |
| | 2 nd pass | 16 | 160.7 (8.2) | 43.9 (11.9) | 96.8 (2.8) | 4.8 (1.1) |
| | 3 rd pass | 8 | 160.3 (11.6) | 43.3 (9.4) | 95.6 (3.0) | 4.0 (1.0) |
| P value ^{\$} | _ | | 0.51 | 0.79 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |

- HR did not differ across suctioning sequences (p=0.51).
- Average HR ranged from 154.7 to 171.5 with highest after 3rd suction of mouth.
- No statistically significant changes across RR (p=0.79).
- O₂ sat decreased between baseline and after each pass (p=0.001).
- PIPP scores increased (p=0.0001).







- On average it took 9.5 minutes to complete the suctioning sequence.
- Mean time to return to baseline was 6 minutes.
- 81% of neonates returned to baseline within 10 minutes of last suctioning pass.





The Effects of Gestation Age, Days of Life, and Total Number of Passes on Time to Complete Suctioning Sequence and Time to Return to Baseline

| Effect | Time to complete s | suctioning | Time to return to baseline | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|------------|----------------------------|---------|--|
| Lilect | Coefficient (SE) | P Value | Coefficient (SE) | P Value | |
| Gestation Age | 0.08 (1.03) | 0.94 | 1.74 (0.77) | 0.05 | |
| Days of life | -0.95 (1.09) | 0.40 | -0.44 (0.80) | 0.60 | |
| Total N of passes | 2.25 (1.41) | 0.14 | -1.63 (1.24) | 0.22 | |

- There was no significant effect of gestational age (P=0.94) and days of life (P=0.40), and number of suctioning passes (P=0.14) with respect to time to complete the suctioning sequence.
- There was no significant effect of days of life (P=0.60) and total number of suctioning passes with respect to return to baseline (P=0.22).
- There was a significant effect of gestational age and return to baseline (P=0.05). Each one week increase in gestational age was associated with 1.7 minutes longer to return to baseline.



Conclusions

- Tested guideline is tolerated by infants and no adverse events were observed.
- Neonates did experience mild to moderate pain.
- O₂ sat remained within acceptable ranges and no clinically significant concerns were raised with RR and HR.
- For 2nd and 3rd passes there was additional drop in O₂ sat.
- Neonatal response to suctioning while on NCPAP was not highly sensitive to days of life or gestational age.







- Small sample (pilot)
- One hospital
- One type of NCPAP
- Stable neonates







- Our tested guideline appears safe.
- Guideline should be tested in a larger sample with neonates on other types of NCPAP systems.









wexnermedical.osu.edu



