A Nursing Education Intervention for Patient-initiated Verbal Aggression (PIVA)



Mary C. Poquette, DNP, RN, Kathie S. Zimbro, PhD, RN, Carolyn M. Rutledge, PhD, CFNP, Tarry Wolfe, DNP, CFNP, PMHNP-BC Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA & HonorHealth, Phoenix, AZ

HONORHEALTH

Purpose

This study explored differences in Patient-initiated verbal aggression (PIVA) knowledge, approaches to confronting PIVA, barriers to confronting PIVA and the use of deescalation behaviors following participation in an advanced practice nurse (APN) led PIVA program for nurses working in medical-surgical (M/S), acute-care, inpatient units.

Nurse comfort level and willingness to confront PIVA, along with personal and professional characteristics were also explored with the long-term goal of improving the quality of care by creating a culture of safety, protective of both nurses and patients.

Background

Patient-initiated verbal aggression (PIVA) is becoming a common method of communication in acute healthcare settings. Verbal aggression presents in the forms of abusive language, shouting, threats, racism, being argumentative and/ or being verbally challenging.

Patient behavior may change abruptly and often verbal aggression, a leading indicator of pending conflicts, is not confronted by nurses because there is a lack of skills and knowledge to safely care for patients exhibiting PIVA.

Literature is sparse when searching for a reliable and effective manner to teach nurses how to identify and understand their own conflict-resolution behaviors, to recognize cues that lead to PIVA, for the use of 'as-needed' medication and deescalation techniques to manage PIVA.

Evidence-Based Questions

(EBQ)

- Is there a relationship between personal characteristics, PIVA knowledge, comfort level to confront PIVA, willingness to confront PIVA, approaches to confront PIVA, barriers to confront PIVA, and de-escalation behaviors for nurses working in M/S environments?
- Is there a relationship between professional characteristics, PIVA knowledge, comfort level to confront PIVA, willingness to confront PIVA, approaches to confront PIVA, barriers to confront PIVA, and de-escalation behaviors for nurses working in M/S environments?
- ls there a <u>difference</u> in PIVA knowledge, comfort level to confront PIVA, willingness to confront PIVA, approaches to confront PIVA, barriers to confront PIVA, and de-escalation behaviors following participation in an APN-led PIVA education program for nurses working in M/S environments?

Method/ Sample

- Study method was a pre-experimental, pre-test/ post test (immediate & 4 weeks post) design with a convenience sample of M/S, acutecare, inpatient nurses.
- Majority of participants worked on a M/S

 Telemetry unit (85.7%), reported full-time status (97.6%), practiced as a nurse < 6 years (90.5%) and years at HonorHealth < 6 years (97.6%)
- Only one group of nurses (n = 42)
 received the APN-led PIVA education
 program
- Participants were predominantly female (83.3%), Caucasian (54.8%) with a Bachelor's in Nursing (76.2%) and a mean age of 36.6 yeas (SD = 10.9) with a minimum of 22 years and a maximum of 66 years.
- Spearman's rank correlation coefficient used for relationships between study variables and Paired samples t-tests used for differences between groups.

Results

EBQ #1

Significant <u>positive</u> correlation between personal characteristic of age and approach to confront (r = .360, p<.05) & between age and barriers to confront (r = .343, p<.05)

EBQ #2

- Significant <u>negative</u> correlation between professional characteristic of years practicing as a nurse and approach to confront (r = -.392, p<.05)
- Significant <u>positive</u> correlations for years practicing as a nurse and deescalation behaviors (r = .415, p < .01) & years at HonorHealth and deescalation behaviors (r = .304, p < .05) & work status (full-time) with willingness to confront (r = .309, p < .05)

EBQ #3

Differences in PIVA Constructs Immediately Following Program Participation	Pre-Education		Post-Education			
	M	SD	M	SD	t-test	p value
Knowledge PIVA	95.7	7.0	93.9	7.7	1.350	.185
Comfort to Confront	3.2	1.0	4.1	0.6	-6.000	<.001
Willing to Confront	3.9	0.7	4.3	.05	-3.543	.001
Approach to Confront	28.9	6.9	32.2	6.2	-5.536	<.001
Barriers to Confront	44.9	8.0	46.2	8.1	-1.136	.263
De-escalation Behaviors	39.2	6.1	42.9	1.1	-3.988	<.001
Differences in PIVA Constructs Four Weeks Post Education	Pre-Education		4 Week Post-Education			
	М	SD	M	SD	t-test	p value
Knowledge PIVA	95.7	7.0	95.0	6.1	.662	.512
Comfort to Confront	3.2	1.0	3.9	0.7	-4.617	<.001
Willing to Confront	3.9	0.7	4.2	0.6	-2.147	.038
Approach to Confront	28.9	6.9	31.4	6.2	-2.195	.034
Barriers to Confront	44.9	8.0	46.0	9.8	620	.539
De-escalation Behaviors	39.2	6.1	42.5	6.0	-3.536	.001

Conclusions/Implications

- Immediate and four-week post study participant results from the APN-led PIVA education program about self—efficacy influences, de-escalation techniques and the consideration of administering 'as-needed' medications demonstrated statistical significance for participants' comfort-level and willingness to manage PIVA which has not been readily available in current literature review.
- These results may assist with enhancing the quality of nursing care by teaching nurses how to effectively manage PIVA while creating a safer workplace for nurses and patients.