Title:

How Did Advanced Pancreatic Cancer Patients and Caregivers Communicate Their Needs?: A Pilot Study

Chia-Chun Tang, MSN

School of Nursing, Indiana University Purdue University at Indianapolis, W Lafayette, IN, USA Diane Von Ah, PhD

Indiana University School of Nursing, Indianapolis, IN, USA

Cleveland Shields, PhD

Department of human development and family studies, Purdue University, W Lafayette, IN, USA

Session Title:

Rising Stars of Research and Scholarship Invited Student Poster Session 1

Keywords:

Communication, Pancreatic neoplasms and Terminally ill

References:

Hoerger, M., Epstein, R. M., Winters, P. C., Fiscella, K., Duberstein, P. R., Gramling, R., . . . Tang, W. (2013). Values and options in cancer care (VOICE): study design and rationale for a patient-centered communication and decision-making intervention for physicians, patients with advanced cancer, and their caregivers. BMC Cancer, 13(1), 188. Laws, M. B., Beach, M. C., Lee, Y., Rogers, W. H., Saha, S., Korthuis, P. T., . . . Wilson, I. B. (2013). Provider-patient adherence dialogue in HIV care: results of a multisite study. AIDS and Behavior, 17(1), 148-159. Riley, R., Weiss, M. C., Platt, J., Taylor, G., Horrocks, S., & Taylor, A. (2013). A comparison of GP, pharmacist and nurse prescriber responses to patients' emotional cues and concerns in primary care consultations. Patient education and counseling, 91(1), 65-71. Sakai, E. Y., & Carpenter, B. D. (2011). Linguistic features of power dynamics in triadic dementia diagnostic conversations. Patient education and counseling, 85(2), 295-298. Schildmann, J., Ritter, P., Salloch, S., Uhl, W., & Vollmann, J. (2013). 'One also needs a bit of trust in the doctor ... ': a qualitative interview study with pancreatic cancer patients about their perceptions and views on information and treatment decision-making. Ann Oncol, 24(9), 2444-2449. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdt193

Abstract Summary:

This was a pilot qualitative study to identify advanced pancreatic cancer patients' and caregivers' concerns and how these concerns were addressed by healthcare providers. We seek to inform the audience about the common topics, communication quality, and communication patterns of the unstructured conversations among patients, caregivers and oncologists.

Learning Activity:

LEARNING OBJECTIVES	EXPANDED CONTENT OUTLINE
Recognize the common topics discussed among advanced pancreatic cancer patients, caregivers, and oncologists.	Present and discuss the study findings regarding discussion topics
Describe the common barriers of patient- centered communication based on the study findings.	Present and discuss the study findings regarding communication quality
Identify potential barriers to responding to terminally ill patients'/ caregivers' emotion properly.	Present and discuss the study findings regarding patients' emotional cues and oncologists' responses to these emotional cues

Abstract Text:

Background. Although it is one of the leading causes of cancer deaths in the United States, little is known about how patients with advanced pancreatic cancer and their caregivers communicate their needs with healthcare providers. Advanced pancreatic cancer patients, caregivers and healthcare providers face unique communication challenges related to handling high psychological distress and overwhelming information within a short period of time. Consequently, these communication difficulties may also affect their quality of life and decision making process. This pilot study seeks to explore how advanced pancreatic cancer patients and their caregivers communicate their concerns about the disease, patterns of communication, and psychological reactions with oncologists.

Method. De-identified transcripts of advanced (stage III or IV) pancreatic cancer patients' audio-recorded office visits were selected from a large randomized controlled trial called the Values and Options in Cancer Care (VOICE). The purpose of VOICE was to test an intervention designed to facilitate communication and decision making among oncologists, patients with advanced cancer, and their caregivers. The VOICE recruited patients with stage three or four solid cancer from multiple sites in the New York and California regions. While participants of VOICE were required to provide one audiorecorded office visit with their oncologist before and after the intervention, we used only before intervention transcripts for this pilot study analysis. From a pool of 37 available transcripts, we purposeful selected four transcripts with different levels of prognosis discussion. Selected transcripts were analyzed in terms of discussion topics, message quality, patients' emotional cues, and oncologists' responses to these emotional cues. Message quality was evaluated based on several patient-centered clinician verbal behaviors purposed by Drs. Epstein and Street in a 2007 National Cancer Institute monograph. We coded patients' emotional cues and oncologists' corresponding responses based on the modified Medical Interview Aural Rating Scale (MIARS). Specifically, patients' and caregivers' emotional cues were coded based on four levels of psychological depth. Oncologists' responses to emotional cues were coded into four main categories of cue-responding behaviors (exploration, acknowledgement, factual clarification, and block).

Results. The four transcripts represented 12 individuals because each contained three participants: oncologist, patient, and caregiver. The average word count was 3518.5 words. Oncologists, patients and caregivers contributed to 2806.3, 731 and 463.5 words, respectively. Among the nine categories of consultation topics identified, physical symptoms and signs were the most frequent (n=22) followed by care procedure (n=5), drugs (n=4) and lab results (n=4). Oncologists initiated more topics (n=35) than patients (n=8) and caregivers (n=4). Moreover, oncologist-initiated interruptions occurred in all consultations with an average of 5.3 interruptions per consultation. Although neither patient nor oncologist discussed emotion-related topics explicitly, patients and caregivers experienced a variety of emotional fluctuations. Overall, 87 patients' (n=54) and caregivers' (n=33) emotional cues were identified. The majority of the cues were level one, implicit emotional cues (n=80). The most frequent oncologists' responding strategy was blocking, including switching focus (i.e., the oncologist switched away from the emotion, but within the context of the patients disclosure) or overt blocking (i.e., the oncologist disrupted the conversation by moving away from the content and cues).

Conclusion. To our knowledge, this is the first study to analyze unstructured conversation to identify advanced pancreatic cancer patients' concerns and how these concerns were addressed in office visits. Our findings provide valuable insight for identifying needs and enhancing end of life care and communication of this population.