

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ADHD AND SCHOOL ATTENDANCE, SCHOOL BEHAVIOR AND SCHOOL PERFORMANCE

2_Darcy_Mahoney_A_p66891_1.pdf



Nancy Pontes, PhD, FNP-BC Rutgers University, Camden
Manuel Pontes, PhD, Rowan University
New Jersey, United States of America

Attention Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)

- Characterized by one or combination of the following:
 - ▣ **Inattention**
 - ▣ **Hyperactivity**
 - ▣ **Impulsivity**

- Genetic & Non-Genetic Factors
 - ▣ Heritability 76%
 - ▣ Maternal smoking during pregnancy
 - ▣ Exposure to lead/polychlorinated biphenols

- Testing
 - ▣ Validated parent & teacher rating scales of behavior in everyday situations in various environments.
 - ▣ Adolescents also provide self-report.



Background: US ADHD

Reference: Boyle, C.A., Boulet, S., Schieve, L.A. et al.(2011). Trends in prevalence of developmental disabilities in US children, 1997-2008. *Pediatrics*, 127,1034-1042.

Prevalence in U.S.

- ▣ 5.4 million children in US (9.5%)
- ▣ Most common neurobehavioral disorder
- ▣ Associated with poor functional outcomes.
- ▣ 33% increase in diagnosis 1997-1999.
- ▣ Males >females

Overdiagnosis

- ▣ Disruptive/hyperactive children
- ▣ Longterm stressors and/or abuse can mimic ADHD or make ADHD worse
- ▣ Regions with pharmaceutical marketing to providers/parents more likely treated

Underdiagnosis

- ▣ Inattentive type
- ▣ Females



Key Clinical Points about ADHD

Reference:

Feldman, H. M. (2014). Attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 30(9), 848-846. <http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMcp1307215#t=article>

□ **Diagnosis**

- Characterized by **inattention, hyperactivity, impulsivity** or a combination of these three
- Validated parent & teacher rating scales of behavior in everyday situations in various environments.
- Adolescents provide self-report.

□ **Common Coexisting Problems**

- Learning disorders
- Anxiety and depression
- Oppositional behaviors
- Conduct disturbance
- Autism Spectrum Disorders

□ **Management Plans**

- Multidisciplinary/family-centered
- Measurable target objectives that relate to functional outcomes
- Frequently monitor effectiveness.

□ **Treatment**

□ **Stimulant medications**

- Can reduce the ADHD symptoms without improving functional limitations.

□ **Behavior management**

- Not as effective as medication in reducing symptoms, but it improves functioning.

Table 1. Criteria for the Diagnosis of Attention Deficit–Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and Hyperkinetic Disorder.

Criteria	DSM-IV*	DSM-5†	ICD-10‡
Symptoms			
Inattention	Six of nine symptoms	Six of nine symptoms in children; five of nine symptoms in adolescents and adults (≥ 17 yr)	Three of five symptoms
Hyperactivity and impulsivity	Six of nine symptoms	Six of nine symptoms in children; five of nine symptoms in adolescents and adults (≥ 17 yr)	Three of five symptoms of hyperactivity and one of four symptoms of impulsivity
Age at onset	<7 yr	<12 yr	<7 yr
Settings	Either inattention or hyperactivity–impulsivity in ≥ 2 settings	≥ 2 settings	Inattention and hyperactivity at home and school
Duration	≥ 6 mo	≥ 6 mo	≥ 6 mo
Impairment	Clinically significant impairment in social, academic, or occupational functioning	Interference with functioning or development; specify mild, moderate, or severe functional impairment or symptoms	Clinically significant distress or impairment in social, academic, or occupational functioning
Subtypes	ADHD: combined type (inattentive and hyperactive–impulsive), predominantly inattentive type, or predominantly hyperactive type	ADHD: combined inattentive and hyperactive–impulsive presentation, predominantly inattentive presentation, or predominantly hyperactive–impulsive presentation	Hyperkinetic syndrome, hyperkinetic conduct disorder, or other hyperkinetic disorders

* The criteria are based on the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, fourth edition (DSM-IV).¹

† The criteria are based on the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, fifth edition (DSM-5).²

‡ The criteria are based on the *International Classification of Diseases*, 10th edition (ICD-10).¹⁹

Feldman, H. M. (2014). Attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 30(9), 848-846.
<http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMc1307215#t=article>

ADHD Prevalence Rates by Country

Reference: Al-Yagon et al. (2014). The proposed changes for DSM-5 for SLD and ADHD: International perspectives-Australia, Germany, Greece, India, Israel, Italy, Spain, Taiwan, United Kingdom and United States. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 46(1), 58-72. DOI:10.1177/002221942464353.

COUNTRY	% Prevalence in School-Aged Children
Australia	2.3% - 9.9% (some as high as 20%)
Germany	3% - 7%
Greece	0.015%
India	No official rate
Israel	5% (estimated)
Italy	0.43% – 3.6%
Spain	4.9% (estimated Canary Islands/Mallorca)
Taiwan	4.9%
United Kingdom	3%-5% (1% formally diagnosed)
United Stated	9.5%

Previous Research on ADHD

- **Disparity in urban minority youth (*Basch, C.E., 2011*)**
 - ▣ More likely to be affected
 - ▣ Less likely to be diagnosed and treated
 - ▣ Poor academic achievement & greater absenteeism
 - ▣ Complexity of toxic stress impacting symptoms and treatment success?

- **ADHD and poor academic achievement (*Daley, D. & Birchwood, J., 2010*)**
 - ▣ Preschool – compromised readiness for learning due to impulse control, attentional capacity, hyperactivity
 - ▣ Elementary, Middle and High School
 - Poor longterm reading achievement from preschool to adolescence
 - Associated with poor grades, poor standardized testing (reading and math)
 - Failure to progress

MEPS: What is it?

- **MEPS = Medical Expenditure Panel Survey**
 - ▣ Conducted by Agency for Healthcare Research & Quality (AHRQ)
- **Nationally-representative large-scale in-home surveys since 1996:**
 - ▣ Sample Families using family informant
 - ▣ Medical providers of families and individuals surveyed
 - ▣ Employers of families and individuals surveyed about health insurance.
- **Most complete data on the cost and use of health care and health insurance coverage.**
- **Collection of data on specific health services, and**
 - ▣ Frequency of use
 - ▣ Cost of these services
 - ▣ Payment method
 - ▣ Health insurance cost, scope, and breadth available to U.S. workers.

Comparison of MEPS to other Nationally-representative Health Surveys

□ **NHIS: National Health Interview Survey**

- **In-home** self-report (Children data obtained from adult proxies).
- Not enhanced with medical data. (Self-report data from patient not verified by checks with patient's medical records)

□ **BRFSS: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey**

- **Telephone** self-report (only persons ≥ 18)
- World's largest on-going health survey.
- Not enhanced with medical data

□ **MEPS: Medical Expenditure Panel Survey**

- **In-home** self-report with data points 3 times per year based on diaries and enhanced with medical data
- Less problems with telescoping or poor recall
- Validated with diagnostic and cost data from providers.

ADHD Diagnosis Questions= Self-report + Medical Enhancement

- Does your child currently have ADHD?
 - ▣ Self-report – YES response, or
 - ▣ Self-report – NO, but diagnosed with ADHD/ADD in past 12 months.

- Each item was recorded twice in a year (Yes=Yes at any one time).

Sample description

MEPS Dataset

- 2008 – 2011
(4 years)
- Total dataset of adults and children
- $n = 131,032$

Selection Criteria

- Children (5-17 yrs)
- Attended school for the entire calendar year
- $n = 29,444$

Measurement

Parent In-home Interviews 3 times per year:

- School Attendance by parent diary

- **Parent or other adult completed 13-Item Columbia Impairment Scale (CIS) – 2 items used from CIS**
 - Problems with School Behavior 0-4 (4 is worst): > 1 .
 - Problems with School Work 0-4 (4 is worst): > 1
 - Also used Sum of all 13 items: > 15

Examining the Relationship between ADHD & School Performance: Confounding Variables

- **ADHD is more prevalent among students who**
 - ▣ Have lower family income
 - ▣ Are in a household with a single parent or absent mother
 - ▣ Are male

- Previous research shows that each of these variables is strongly related to school behavior and performance.

- Need to use multivariate regression analyses to control for influence of these three variables

Regression Model: Independent variables

- ADHD Diagnosis
 - ▣ YES (Includes ADHD and ADD)
 - ▣ NO
- Gender
 - ▣ Male
 - ▣ Female
- Income
 - ▣ 200% of FPL or more
 - ▣ 100% – 199% of FPL
 - ▣ 0 – 99% of FPL
- Lived with both parents or married mother
 - ▣ Yes
 - ▣ No

**Table 1: Family Structure of School-Going Children in the U.S.
Ages 5-17 years with ADHD**

Child Lived with Mother	Child Lived with Father	Mother Married	% (SE)
NO	YES or NO	N/A	7.6 (0.38)
YES	NO	NO	22.5 (0.76)
YES	YES	NO	3.9 (0.23)
YES	NO	YES	0.9 (0.15)
YES	YES	YES	65.1 (0.99)

NA=not available; SE=standard error of estimate.

Must be enrolled in school for the entire year and who had an ADHD diagnosis recorded

(Note: parent variables, such as marital status, are only available if the parent lived in the same household as the child)

**Table 2: Family Structure of Child's Family, by Family Income
(School-Going Children in the US Ages 5-17 Years)**

Annual Family Income	Child lived with BOTH Parents or with Married Mother % (SE)
All children	69.9 (0.92)
<100% of FPL	45.5 (1.87)
100 – 199% of FPL	61.9 (1.42)
≥ 200% of FPL	80.0 (0.86)

%=percentage of all school-going children (5 – 17 years) who lived with both parents or with married mother (and had ADHD diagnosis recorded – either yes or no) within family income level, SE=standard error of estimate.

Table 3: Variables Related to Prevalence of ADHD / ADD in School Going Children Ages 5-17 Years

Variable	LEVEL	Percentage of Children Diagnosed with ADHD		
		% (SE)	β (SE)	OR (95% CI)
All School-Going Children		10.6 (0.43)		
Gender	Male Female (Ref)	15.3 (0.71) 5.6 (0.34)	0.55 (0.039)	3.02(2.59-3.52)**
Family Income as Percentage (%) of FPL	<100% FPL	11.7 (0.73)	0.01 (0.083)	1.01(0.86-1.19)
	100 – 199% FPL	10.6 (0.68)	-0.04 (0.081)	0.96(0.82-1.13)
	>200% FPL (Ref)	10.3 (0.39)		
Children Lived with both parents or married mother	NO	13.7 (0.66)	0.44 (0.067)	1.57(1.37-1.77)**
	YES	9.2 (0.46)		

%=Percentage of school-going children ages 5-17 years in the US who have a diagnosis of ADHD or ADD, SE=standard error of estimate, β =Estimated regression coefficient, OR =multivariate odds-ratio adjusted for covariates, 95% CI=95% confidence interval.. FPL =Federal Poverty Level for U.S.

Table 4: Logistic Regression: Relationship between ADHD and Children's Health Status

Variable	LEVEL	% of Children with Fair or Poor Health		
		% (SE)	β (SE)	OR (95% CI)
All School-Going Children		5.1 (0.24)		
ADHD	YES	8.5 (0.77)	0.58(0.104)	1.78(1.45-2.19)**
	NO (Ref)	4.7 (0.23)		
Gender	Male	5.2 (0.30)	-0.01(0.040)	0.98(0.82-1.16)
	Female (Ref)	5.0 (0.33)		
Family Income as Percentage (%) of FPL	<100% FPL	8.9 (0.57)	0.82(0.099)	2.28(1.87-2.78)**
	100 – 199% FPL	6.5 (0.44)	0.56(0.089)	1.76(1.47-2.10)**
	>200% FPL (Ref)	3.5 (0.23)		
Children Lived with both parents or married mom	NO	7.8 (0.47)	0.46(0.093)	1.58(1.31-1.89)**
	YES (Ref)	4.0 (0.25)		

%=percentage of school going children ages 5-17 years in the US who are in fair or poor health, SE=standard error of estimate, β =Estimated regression coefficient, OR =multivariate odds-ratio adjusted for covariates, 95% CI=95% confidence interval.

Table 5: Logistic Regression: Relationship between ADHD / ADD and Illness/Injury-Related School Absence (Children 5-17 years)

Variable	LEVEL	Missed 7 or More School Days due to Injury/Illness		
		% (SE)	β (SE)	OR (95% CI)
All School-Going Children		9.7(0.31)		
ADHD/ADD	YES NO (Ref)	13.3(0.84) 9.3(0.32)	0.50(0.083)	1.65(1.40-1.94)**
Gender	Male Female (Ref)	9.3(0.42) 10.2(0.43)	-0.09(0.031)	0.83(0.74-0.93)**
Family Income as Percent (%) of FPL	<100% FPL 100 – 199% FPL >200% FPL (Ref)	11.7(0.71) 10.0(0.55) 9.0(0.41)	0.18(0.090) 0.09(0.079)	1.20(1.01-1.43)* 1.10(0.94-1.27)
Children Lived with both parents or married mom	NO YES (Ref)	12.6(0.55) 8.5(0.37)	0.33(0.073)	1.39(1.21-1.61)**

%=percentage of school-going children ages 5-17 years in the US who missed 7 or more school days due to illness/injury, SE=standard error of estimate, β =Estimated regression coefficient, OR = multivariate odds-ratio adjusted for covariates, 95% CI=95% confidence interval.

Table 6: Logistic Regression: Relationship between ADHD and Functional Impairment Among US School Children (Sum of 13 items > 15 (Bird et al)

Variable	LEVEL	Functional Impairment		
		% (SE)	β (SE)	OR (95% CI)
All School-Going Children		11.0(0.34)		
ADHD	YES NO (Ref)	35.5(1.47) 8.4(0.29)	1.76(0.079)	5.82(4.98-6.79)**
Gender	Male Female (Ref)	6.5(0.14) 5.6(0.11)	0.01(0.033)	1.03(0.90-1.16)
Family Income as Percent (%) of FPL	<100% FPL 100 – 199% FPL >200% FPL (Ref)	14.2(0.75) 11.6(0.66) 9.7(0.42)	0.23(0.079) 0.10(0.080)	1.25(1.07-1.46)** 1.10(0.94-1.29)
Children Lives with both parents or married mom	NO YES (Ref)	16.2(0.66) 8.7(0.38)	0.58(0.072)	1.79(1.56-2.01)**

% =percentage of students who had rating > 15 on the sum of the 13 item scale for functional impairment, SE= standard error, β =Estimated regression coefficient, OR = multivariate odds-ratio adjusted for covariates, 95% CI=95% confidence interval..

Table 7: Logistic Regression: Relationship between ADHD / ADD and Problems with School Behavior in the US (SCHLBH42 > 1)

Variable	LEVEL	Problems with School Behavior		
		% (SE)	β (SE)	OR (95% CI)
All School-Age Children		9.9(0.29)		
ADHD	YES NO (Ref)	29.9(1.32) 7.7(0.26)	1.47(0.079)	4.35(3.72-5.07)**
Gender	Male Female (Ref)	13.0(0.42) 6.6(0.32)	0.29(0.031)	1.71(1.56-1.87)**
Family Income as Percent (%) of FPL	<100% FPL	14.9(0.64)	0.16(0.064)	1.64(1.42-1.90)**
	100 – 199% FPL	11.5(0.59)	0.12(0.058)	1.39(1.21-1.60)**
	>200% FPL (Ref)	7.7(0.32)		
Children lived with both parents or married mom	NO YES (Ref)	16.3(0.60) 7.0(0.27)	0.77(0.066)	2.16(1.89-2.45)**

% =percentage of students who had rating > 1 on the variable, problem with school behavior, SE= standard error, β =Estimated regression coefficient, OR = multivariate odds-ratio adjusted for covariates, 95% CI=95% confidence interval.

Table 8: Regression: Relationship between ADHD / ADD and Problems with School Work in the US (SCHPRO42 > 1)

Variable	LEVEL	Problems with School Work		
		% (SE)	β (SE)	OR (95% CI)
All School-Going Children		16.0(0.37)		
ADHD/ADD	YES NO (Ref)	48.3(1.47) 12.4(0.32)	1.76(0.064)	5.79(5.10-6.56)**
Gender	Male Female (Ref)	20.2(0.55) 11.5(0.40)	0.23(0.025)	1.59(1.44-1.75)**
Family Income as Percent (%) of FPL	<100% FPL 100 – 199% FPL >200% FPL (Ref)	18.3(0.82) 16.9(0.66) 14.8(0.49)	0.07(0.071) 0.07(0.067)	1.07(0.93-1.23) 1.08(0.94-1.23)
Children Lives with both parents or married mother	NO YES (Ref)	21.8(0.70) 13.3(0.42)	0.52(0.060)	1.68(0.94-1.23)

% =percentage of students who had rating > 1 on the variable, problem with school work, SE= standard error, β =Estimated regression coefficient, OR = multivariate odds-ratio adjusted for covariates, 95% CI=95% confidence interval.

Key Findings

- **Prevalence of ADHD is 10.6% in school-aged children**
 - ▣ **Higher than previous research**

- **Prevalence in Boys is 15.3% (Girls 5.6%)**
 - ▣ **Boys almost 3X more likely to have ADHD than girls**
 - ▣ **Girls also under-diagnosed**



Statistically-Significant Findings

- ADHD has a **negative impact** on school-aged children:

- Health status
- School attendance,
- Problems with school behavior
- Problems with schoolwork
- Functional impairment

Functional Impairment

Univariate Analysis

- Boys **more likely** to have functional impairment than girls
- Boys **not more likely** to have functional impairment than girls after excluding children with ADHD.

Multivariate Analysis

- Boys **not more likely** to have functional impairment than girls after controlling for ADHD.

Statistically-Significant Findings

School Behavior and School Work

□ Univariate Analysis

- Boys **more likely** than girls to have problems with school behavior and school work.
- Boys **more likely** than girls to have problems with school behavior and school work after excluding children with ADHD

□ Multivariate Analysis

- Boys **more likely** than girls to have problems with school behavior and school work after statistically controlling for ADHD

Key Findings: Role of Genetics and Environment

References: Bornovalova, et al. (2014); Wymbs, et al. (2008).

Results

- Low income families **more likely** to have children with ADHD.
- Children living with both parents or married mother **less likely** to have ADHD.

Link with Previous Research

- ADHD is inherited
- Adults with ADHD have **less schooling** and **lower incomes**, and **are more likely to get divorced**. Is this why children of single parents more likely to have ADHD – Is this genetic?

Implications for Practice & Future Research

- **Sustainable School-Based Health**
 - ▣ Person-Centered Health Home (PCMH)
 - ▣ Team interventions for ADHD programs
 - ▣ Intensive family and group therapy

- **Further research**
 - ▣ Heredity versus environment
 - ▣ Adult ADHD
 - ▣ Disparities in diagnosis
 - ▣ Impact & Treatment of Toxic Stress
 - ▣ Treatments
 - Nutrition
 - Functional/Chiropractic Neurology
 - Acupuncture
 - Breastfeeding as protective factor
 - Pharmaceutical treatment



Limitations of Study



- **School Attendance**
 - ▣ Measured but diary, not school attendance records
- **School Performance**
 - ▣ Measured by self-report of informant not grades or test scores
 - ▣ Measured by 1-item of the Columbia Impairment Scale (CIS)
- **School Behavior**
 - ▣ Measured by 1-item of the Columbia Impairment Scale (CIS)
- **Data does not differentiate:**
 - ▣ School type or quality
 - ▣ Urban from suburban

References

- Al-Yagon et al. (2014). The proposed changes for DSM-5 for SLD and ADHD: International perspectives-Australia, Germany, Greece, India, Israel, Italy, Spain, Taiwan, United Kingdom and United States. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 46(1), 58-72. DOI:10.1177/002221942464353.
- Bornovalova, M.A., Cummings, J.R., Hunt, E., Blazei, R., Malone, S. and Iacono, W.G. (2014). Understanding the relative contributions of direct environmental effects and passive genotype environmental correlations in the association between familial risk factors and child disruptive behavior disorders. *Psychological Medicine*, 44,831-844.
- Basch, C. E. (2011) Inattention and hyperactivity and the achievement gap among urban minority. *Journal of School Health*, 81(10), 642-648.
- Boyle, C.A., Boulet, S., Schieve, L.A. et al.(2011). Trends in prevalence of developmental disabilities in US children, 1997-2008. *Pediatrics*, 127,11034-1042.
- Daley, D. and Birchwood, J (2010). Adhd and academic performance: why does ADHD impact on academic performance and what can be done to support ADHD children in the classroom? *Child: Care, Health and Development*, 36(4),455-464.
- Feldman, H. M. (2014). Attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 30(9), 848-846. <http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMcp1307215#t=article>
- Wymbs, B.T., Pelham, W.E., Molina, B.S., Gnagy, E.M., Wilson, T.K. and Greenhouse, J.B. (2008). *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 76(5), 735-744.

QUESTIONS?

