Are longer nursing shifts in medical/surgical wards associated with reduced quality of care and patient safety? A cross sectional survey in 12 European countries
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Objectives:

• To describe shift patterns of European registered nurses

• Investigate associations between shift patterns and nurse reported care quality, safety and care left undone.
  – shift length
  – working beyond contracted hours (overtime)
Shift patterns

- **Traditional “8 hour”**
  - 3 Shifts per day
    - “Early” (e.g. 07:00-16:00)
    - “Late” (e.g. 13:00-22:00)
    - “Night” (e.g. 21:30-07:30)
  - 5 shifts per WTE nurse per week

- **Extended “12 hour”**
  - 2 shifts per day
    - Day (07:00-19:30)
    - Night (19:00-07:30)
  - 3 per WTE nurse per week
Prevalence

- Survey of US registered nurses indicated that 65% worked shifts of 12 to 13 hours (Stimpfel et al 2012)

- UK/Europe?

Stimpfel AW, Aiken LH. Hospital Staff Nurses’ Shift Length Associated With Safety and Quality of Care. J Nurs Care Qual 2012
Pros?

• **Employers**
  - Reduced overlaps
  - Fewer handovers
  - Improved ‘in day’ continuity
  - Increased efficiency
  - Improved quality

• **Nurses**
  - Improved experience of continuity
  - Satisfaction with more days off work

Source: NHS Evidence Quality & Productivity Case Study: Moving to 12-hour shift patterns: to increase continuity and reduce costs (Basingstoke and North Hampshire NHS Foundation Trust)
Cons?

- Long working hours are correlated with fatigue and decreased levels of alertness (Geiger-Brown et al 2012)
- Potentially more adverse events including mortality (Trinkoff et al 2011)
- Nurses working 12+ hours reported lower quality care (Stimpfel et al 2012)


Stimpfel AW, Aiken LH. Hospital Staff Nurses’ Shift Length Associated With Safety and Quality of Care. J Nurs Care Qual 2012

Limitations

- Systematic review inconclusive (Estabrooks et al 2009)
- “Shift-length” and “overtime” confounded
- Most adverse evidence relates to shifts of 13 hours or more
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RN4CAST Survey

- Based on IHOS survey
- Translated into the 10 primary languages / 12 countries
- 54140 questionnaires distributed to RNs on med / surg wards response rate 62%
- Nurses were asked to report
  - number of hours worked
  - the period of the day (day / night)
  - whether they had worked beyond their contracted hours on the last shift they worked
Measures of quality

• In general, how would you describe the quality of nursing care delivered to patients on your unit/ward?
  – fair or poor as opposed to good or excellent.

• Please give your unit/ward an overall grade on patient safety
  – poor, failing, acceptable, very good or excellent
Missed care

- **On your most recent shift, which of the following activities were necessary but left undone because you lacked the time to complete them?**


- Adequate patient surveillance
- Adequately document nursing care
- Administer medications on time
- Comfort/talk with patients
- Develop or update nursing care plans/care pathways
- Educating patients & family
- Frequent changing of patient position
- Oral hygiene
- Pain management
- Planning care
- Prepare patients & families for discharge
- Skin care
- Treatments & procedures
## RN4CAST Cross-sectional survey (2009-10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Hospitals (Trusts)</th>
<th>Wards</th>
<th>Nurses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>3186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>46 (31)</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>2990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>1131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>1508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>2217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>3752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>2605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>2804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>10133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>1632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>486</strong></td>
<td><strong>2169</strong></td>
<td><strong>33731</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Random / representative sample of hospitals, census sample of nurses from 2 or more general medical / surgical wards per hospital.
Analysis

• Binomial / Poisson general mixed multi-level model (R / LME)
  – Nurses nested in wards nested in hospitals nested in countries
  – Control for
    • shift type (day / night)
    • nurse staffing levels
    • nurse age
    • hospital size
    • high technology hospitals
    • teaching status
## Working patterns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hours worked</th>
<th>All n (%)</th>
<th>Day n (%)</th>
<th>Night n (%)</th>
<th>Overtime n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤8 hours</td>
<td>15930 (50%)</td>
<td>15411 (63%)</td>
<td>519 (7%)</td>
<td>2669 (31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1-10 hours</td>
<td>9963 (32%)</td>
<td>5960 (24%)</td>
<td>4005 (57%)</td>
<td>4175 (49%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1-11.9 hours</td>
<td>1159 (4%)</td>
<td>357 (1%)</td>
<td>802 (11%)</td>
<td>461 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-13 hours</td>
<td>4313 (14%)</td>
<td>2670 (11%)</td>
<td>1643 (23%)</td>
<td>1145 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;13 hours</td>
<td>260 (1%)</td>
<td>229 (1%)</td>
<td>31 (0%)</td>
<td>156 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>31625 (100%)</td>
<td>24627 (100%)</td>
<td>7000 (100%)</td>
<td>8606 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variation between and within countries
Quality

• poor/fair quality of care 25%
• “poor” or “failing” patient safety 7%
• Mean 3 activities left undone on their last shift.
• 88% report leaving some care undone
## Associations between working patterns and quality / safety

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Poor / fair quality</th>
<th>Poor / failing safety</th>
<th>Missed care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR ¹ 95% CI</td>
<td>OR 95% CI</td>
<td>RR² 95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>≤ 8 hours shift</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1-10</td>
<td>1.02 0.82-1.29</td>
<td>1.07 0.94-1.22</td>
<td>1.04* 1.02-1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1-11.9</td>
<td>1.21 0.85-1.73</td>
<td>1.31 0.99-1.75</td>
<td>1.08* 1.03-1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>≥ 12</strong></td>
<td>1.36* 1.00-1.85</td>
<td>1.46* 1.16-1.83</td>
<td>1.13* 1.09-1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Worked beyond contracted hours</strong></td>
<td>1.32* 1.05-1.66</td>
<td>1.66* 1.50-1.85</td>
<td>1.29* 1.27-1.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Odds Ratio
² Rate Ratio
Discussion

• increased fatigue, loss of alertness and impaired decision making are plausible mechanisms to explain reduced ratings of quality and safety with longer shifts

• overtime may be associated with less favourable working environments

• the paradox whereby longer shifts appear to be preferred by nurses yet deliver poorer evaluations of safety grade and quality of care also merits further investigation.
Limitations

- Cross sectional
- Subjective outcomes
- “Common method” bias
Conclusions

• a policy of moving to longer shifts to reduce overall workforce requirements may have unintended consequences and reduce the efficiency and effectiveness of the workforce.

• the increased flexibility associated with overtime may not deliver the desired goals.