# Grading Rubrics: What's in it for Faculty?



Rachel Choudhury, MSN, RN, CNE Curriculum & Instruction Specialist • Chamberlain College of Nursing

Candice Phillips, PhD, RN, APRN, CNM, CNE Faculty Development Specialist • Chamberlain College of Nursing



## **Objectives**

- Explore the use of standardized analytic rubrics to guide student performance
- Examine faculty perceptions regarding the use of standardized analytic rubrics for student evaluation on required nursing assignments
- Investigate how teaching and learning practices are promoted through the use of standardized analytic rubrics

## Background

- Grading rubrics provide specific evaluative criteria to gauge student performance (Truemper, 2004) and to improve student academic performance (Howell, 2011)
- Administrative and pedagogical advantages to using grading rubrics (Solan & Linardopoulos, 2011)
- Benefits of grading rubrics:
  - Increased student understanding of instructor expectations (Oakleaf, 2009)
  - Provide objective formative feedback to guide student performance (Oakleaf, 2009)
  - More meaningful grading experiences (Brescian, Zelna & Anderson, 2004)

# Significance

- Few findings focus on the rigorous use of standardized rubric tools in instructional and program assessments or on the perceptions of faculty who use these standardized evaluation tools (Reddy & Andrade, 2010)
- Research questions:
  - What are faculty perceptions regarding the use of standardized analytic rubrics for student evaluation on required assignments?
  - How does the use of standardized analytic rubrics promote teaching and learning practices?



# Methodology

Intervention: four-column analytic grading rubric

Assignment	Outstanding or Highest Level of Performance	Very Good or High Level of	Competent or Satisfactory	Poor, Failing or
Criteria		Performance	Level of Performance	Unsatisfactory Level of
	A (92–100%)	B (84–91%)	C (76–83%)	Performance F (0–75%)

- Survey, Mixed Methods, Pre-/Post-test
  - Nine questions, five-level Likert-type
  - Six questions, Open-ended
  - Administration: Completely online
- Participants Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria





#### Results and Analysis

- Participant description
  - Years teaching in nursing education
  - Highest degree completed
- Previous assessment methods used
  - Types
  - Objectivity
  - Consistency
  - Reliability
  - Efficiency





#### Results and Analysis

- Use of four-column standardized analytic rubrics
  - Quantitative:
    - Objectivity and Consistency
    - Reliability and Efficiency
    - Overall Satisfaction
  - Qualitative
    - Challenges
    - Changes/Recommendations
    - Faculty Development Training



#### References

- Bresciani, M.J., Zelna, C.L., & Anderson, J.A. (2004). *Assessing student learning and development: A handbook for practitioners*. National Association of Student Personnel Administrators.
- Howell, R. J. (2011). Exploring the impact of grading rubrics on academic performance: Findings from a quasi-experimental, pre-post evaluation. *Journal* on *Excellence in College Teaching*, 22 (2), 31-49.
- Oakleaf, M. (2009). Using rubrics to assess information literacy: An examination of methodology and interrater reliability. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 60*(5), 969–983.
- Reddy, Y. M. & Andrade, H. (2010). A review of rubric use in higher education.
  Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 35 (4), 435-448.
- Solan, A. M. & Linardopoulos, N.(2011). Development, implementation, and evaluation of grading rubric for online discussions. *Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, 7(4), 452-464.
- Truemper, C. M. (2004). Using scoring rubrics to facilitate assessment and evaluation of graduate-level nursing students. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 43(12)562-564.

#### Acknowledgments

- John Loafman
  Qualtrics Specialist
- John Moye, PhD Senior Director, Academic Effectiveness
- Laura Fillmore, DNP, MSN, RN
   Dean of Faculty
- Pam Zachman, PhD, RN, PHCNS-BC, CNE Dean of Curriculum and Instruction

