Psychometrics of the Expected Knowledge (EKhp) and the Received Knowledge of Hospital Patients (RKhp) instruments

Presenter: Saija Inkeroinen; MHS, RN; Department of Nursing Science, University of Turku, Finland

Helena Leino-Kilpi; PhD, MEd, RN, FEANS, FAANS; Department of Nursing Science, University of Turku, Finland; and Turku University Hospital, Finland

Jouko Katajisto; MSocSc; Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Turku, Finland

Riitta Suhonen; PhD, RN; Department of Nursing Science, University of Turku, Finland; Turku University Hospital, Finland; and City of Turku, Welfare Division, Finland

Kirsi Valkeapää; PhD, RN; Department of Nursing Science, University of Turku, Finland; and Finnish Defense Research Agency, Finland

Introduction

Empowering patient education is crucial for patients with health problems, and its importance is acknowledged in international strategies (European Commission, 2017; World Health Organization, 2013). In patient education, received knowledge corresponding to expected knowledge seems to support empowerment of patients. To evaluate the empowerment in patient education, instruments are needed. Therefore, the Expected Knowledge of Hospital Patients (EKhp) and the Received Knowledge of Hospital Patients (RKhp) were developed (Klemetti et al., 2015; Rankinen et al., 2007).

Objective

Objective of this study was to evaluate psychometrics of the EKhp and the RKhp with international data to enable international use of the instruments.

Methodology

EKhp and RKhp are based on six elements of empowering knowledge: bio-physiological, functional, experiential, social, ethical and financial. Instruments have been used in numerous studies in Finland (Klemetti et al., 2015; Rankinen et al., 2007). In this study, instruments were translated into six languages and tested in seven European countries (Cyprus, Finland, Greece, Iceland, Lithuania, Spain and Sweden) in 2009–2012. Theoretical construct of the instruments was evaluated with confirmatory factor analysis and internal consistency was demonstrated with Cronbach's alpha.

Results

1595 orthopaedic patients from seven countries participated in the study. Confirmatory factor analysis supported the structures (40 items and six subscales) of instruments with few exceptions. R-squares of subscales were satisfactory in every country (range in the EKhp was 0.333–0.887, and in the RKhp 0.330–0.989). Both instruments had satisfactory internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha value for the whole instrument of the EKhp ranged between the countries from 0.87 to 0.99, and in the RKhp from 0.97 to 0.99).

Conclusions

Based on the psychometric evaluation, the EKhp and the RKhp are structurally valid and internally consistent instruments to evaluate patient education internationally. Instruments enable comparison of expected knowledge and received knowledge in patient education, thus providing unique and important information for nurses supporting empowerment of patients.

Reference:

European Commission. (2017). *Blocks: Tools and methodologies to assess integrated care in Europe. Report by the Expert Group on Health Systems Performance Assessment.*Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.

Klemetti, S., Leino-Kilpi, H., Cabrera, E., Copanitsanou, P., Ingadottir, B., Istomina, N., ... Valkeapää, K. (2015). Difference between received and expected knowledge of patients undergoing knee or hip replacement in seven European countries. *Clinical Nursing Research*, 24(6), 624-643. http://doi.org/10.1177/1054773814549992

Rankinen, S., Salanterä, S., Heikkinen, K., Johansson, K., Kaljonen, A., Virtanen, H., & Leino-Kilpi, H. (2007). Expectations and received knowledge by surgical patients.

International Journal of Quality in Health Care, 19(2), 113-119. http://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzl075

World Health Organization. (2013). *Health 2020 - A European policy framework and strategy for the 21st century*. World Health Organization, Regional office for Europe. http://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0011/199532/Health2020-Long.pdf

Keywords:

Health Care Evaluation Mechanisms; Nursing; Orthopedic Nursing; Patient Education as Topic

Financing entities:

Acknowledgements: Esther Cabrera, Andreas Charalambous, Natalja Fatkulina, Árún Sigurðardóttir, Panayota Sourtzi, Adela Zabalegui.

Thematic axes:

1. Clinical practice