

Perceived Sources and Types of Social Support and Academic Success Among Male Nursing, Social Work, and Occupational Therapy Students



Tina Thornton, PhD, RN, Saginaw Valley State University



Introduction

- Health care disparities continue to exist in the US (1)
- Gender diversity in nursing, social work, and occupational therapy provides collaborative quality care (2)
- The Institute of Medicine (3) report suggests reducing barriers is to transform the health educational system for a more diverse health care work force.



Background

- Males represent 11% of the US nursing workforce, social work (SW) is less than 10%, and 6% in occupational therapy (OT) (4) (5)
- Educational and social barriers for males in these predominate female professions (6)
- Social support is effective for managing stress and stability (7) (8).
- Educational barriers and lack of support can lead to high attrition rates (9-11)

Purpose of Study

- Guided by Tinto's Theory of Student Departure and Allport's Contact Theory
- This quantitative research study was to examine the relationship between perceived social support of family, peers, faculty and role model/mentors and academic success among male students in the three programs.

Research Questions

- Is perceived family, peer, faculty, and role model/mentor social support related to academic achievement/success?
- Are there any differences in the levels of support between male nursing, social work, and occupational therapy students?
- Are there differences in the importance of social support for family, peers, faculty, and role model/mentors among males in the three programs?

Methods

- A descriptive quantitative cross-sectional research study using a survey
- Convenience and snowball sampling
- Modified Student Social Support Scale (16)

Study Participants

- 127 male nursing, SW, and OT students
- Two four-year degree universities within the Midwest region
- Excluded from the study were RN-BSN students and graduate nursing and social work students
- Students age ranges 19-40, majority of students were Caucasian, single and GPA greater than 3.0
- 70% of the student received financial aid and 62% were first generation college students

Results



Supported: Perceived social support and relationship between academic achievement/success: Significant correlation noted among family support and CGPA ($r(125) = .149, p = .04$)

Correlations of Social Support and CGPA

| CGPA | r | p |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------|
| CGPA | ----- | |
| 1. Mean Family Support | .149* | .04* |
| 2. Mean Peer Support | .035 | .37 |
| 3. Mean Faculty Support | -.137 | .06 |
| 4. Mean Role Model/Mentor Support | -.018 | .42 |
| 5. Mean Total Support | .32 | .36 |



Supported: Differences in importance of social support among the three programs: Significant difference on the importance of family support were noted ($F = 4.85, p = .01$) among the three academic programs

Comparison of Importance of Social Support Among the Program Types

| Level of Support | Nursing M(SD) | OT M(SD) | SW M(SD) | F | df | p | Post Hoc |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| Importance of Family Social support | 2.31 (.36) | 2.07 (.38) | 2.33 (.48) | 4.82 | 2 | .01* | Nur-OT* Nur-SW OT-SW* |
| Importance of Family Support | 2.31(.36) | 2.07 (.38) | 2.33(.48) | 4.82 | 2 | .01* | Nur-OT* Nur-SW SW-OT* |



Significant results related to demographics and levels of social support: Peer support and marital status ($t = 2.00, df = 125, p = .04$), Family support and first-generation college student ($t = 3.21, df = 69.72, p = .00$)

Demographic Comparisons Among Levels of Social Support

| Levels of Support | Mean Score | SD | t | p |
|---|------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Mean Peer Support | | | | |
| Marital Status | | | 2.00 | .04* |
| Not Married | 4.38 | .88 | | |
| Married | 3.99 | .81 | | |
| Mean Family Support | | | | |
| First Generation College Student | | | 3.57 | .00* |
| No | 4.97 | .67 | | |
| Yes | 4.42 | 1.08 | | |



Significance was noted among race and importance of peer social support ($t = -.128, df = 125, p = .02$)

Demographic Comparison and The Importance of Social Support

| Importance of Social Support | Mean Score | SD | T | p |
|------------------------------|------------|-----|--------------|-------------|
| Mean Peer Support | | | | |
| Race | | | -1.28 | .02* |
| Non-White | 1.96 | .51 | | |
| White | 2.10 | .42 | | |

Discussion

- Specifically, male nursing students correlate family support and academic success
- Family emotional support promoted the student's commitment to their studies and student retention (8) (12)
- Male social work and occupational therapy identified male faculty and self as a source of support (13) (14).
- Significant differences were noted among males in the three programs on the importance of family support.
- Consistent with other studies, the family variable was higher among nursing and SW program types than OT. (13) (14).
- Previous studies, male nursing, SW, and OT students had a negative perceptions of faculty in the classroom and clinical/fieldwork sites that contributed to academic challenges and retention (13) (15)
- There are limited studies related to demographic information, social support, and males in the three programs.
- Demographics may play a role in the levels and importance of social support used.

Implications to Practice

- Family open forums regarding positive impact of males within these professions
- Faculty training of male student learning styles and various teaching modalities
- Further research using a larger sample size, further studying males in each program, and what is the current impact of males within these professions.



References

- Gillis, C. L., Powell, D. L., & Carter, B. (2010). Recruiting and retaining a diverse workforce in Nursing: From evidence to best practices to policy. Policy, Politics, & Nursing Practice, 11, 294-301. doi:10.1177/1527154411398491.
- Chan, E. A., Chi, S. P. M., Ching, S., & Lam, S. K. S., (2009). Interprofessional education: The interface of nursing and social work. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 19. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2702.2009.02854.3.
- Institute of Medicine. (2012). Interprofessional education for collaboration: Learning how to improve health from interpersonal models across the continuum of educational practice-workshop summary. Retrieved from <http://iom.edu/Reports/2013/InterprofessionalEducation-for-Collaboration.aspx>.
- American Association of Colleges of Nursing. (2018). Enrollment and graduation in baccalaureate and graduate programs in nursing. Retrieved from: <http://www.aacnursing.org/News-Information/Research-Data-Center/Standard-Data-Reports>.
- Bureau of Labor and Statistics. (2016). Occupational outlook handbook. Retrieved from: <https://www.bls.gov/ooh/home.htm>.
- Bartfay, W. J., & Bartfay, E. (2007). Canadian view of men in nursing explored. Men in Nursing 2(2), 32-37. doi:10.1097/01.MIN.0000266940.93559.50.
- Dawson, M., & Pooley, J. A. (2013). Resilience: The role of optimism, perceived parental autonomy support and perceived social support in first year university students. Journal of Education and Training Studies, 1(2), 38-48. doi:10.11114/jets.v1i2.137
- Lou, J. H., Chen, S. H., Yu, H. Y., Li, R. H., Yang, C. I., & Eng, C. J. (2010). The influence of personality traits and social support on male nursing student life stress: A cross-sectional research design. Journal of Nursing Research, 18(2), 108-115. Retrieved from <http://journals.lww.com/jnr-twna/pages/default.aspx>
- Furness, S. (2012). Gender at work: Characteristics of 'failing' social work students. British Journal of Social Work, 42, 480-499. doi:10.1093/bjsw/ber079.
- Meadus, R. J., & Twomey, J. C. (2011). Men student nurses: The nursing education experience. Nursing Forum, 46, 269-279. doi:10.1111/j.1744-6198.2011.00239.x.
- Watson, J. (2013). Progression routes and attainment in occupational therapy education: The impact of background characteristics. The British Journal of Occupational Therapy, 76, 520-527. doi:10.4276/030802213x13861576675169
- McLaughlin, K., Muldoon, O. T., & Moutray, M. (2010). Gender, gender roles and completion of nursing education: A longitudinal study. Nursing Education Today, 30, 303-307. doi:10.1016/j.nedt.2009.08.005
- Parker, J., & Crabtree, S. A. (2014). Fish need bicycles: An exploration of the perceptions of male social work students on a qualifying course. British Journal of Social Work, 44, 310-327. doi:10.1093/bjsw/bcs117
- Rider, B. A., & Brashear, R. M. (1998). Men in occupational therapy. The American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 42(4), 231-237. Retrieved from <https://ajot.aota.org/index.aspx>
- Wolfender, J. (2011). Men in nursing. Journal of Allied Health Sciences and Practice, 9(2), 1-6. Retrieved from <http://nsuworks.nova.edu/ijahsp/vol9/iss2/5/>
- Nolten, P. W. (1994). Conceptualization and measurement of social support: The development of the student social support scale. University of Wisconsin-Madison.