#### 45th Biennial Convention (16-20 November 2019)

# **Does Earlier Cannulation With VV-ECMO in Patients With ARDS Decrease Duration of AMV?**

#### Christine M. Hartner, DNP

Patient Care Services, Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, PA, USA

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is defined as acute onset of hypoxemia resistant to oxygen therapy alone (Linden et al., 2000). Primary treatment for acute respiratory failure is artificial mechanical ventilation (Wu, Huang, Wu, Wang, & Lin, 2016). Given recent advances in technology, the use of VV-ECMO to treat severe acute respiratory failure, is growing rapidly (Combes et al., 2014). Unfortunately, criteria for the initiation of VV-ECMO in adult patients with ARDS differs among healthcare organizations.

"Acute respiratory failure is both the most common and most lethal single-organ failure in intensive care units" (Wu et al., 2016, p. 1). There are over 140,000 cases of ARDS each year in the United States (Tulman et al., 2014). The mortality rate in these patients range from (22 to 41%) (Tulman et al., 2014). The timing of VV-ECMO initiation remains controversial. "Historically, patients are transitioned to VV-ECMO later in the course of severe refractory hypoxia after multiple rescue modalities have been exhausted" (Bosarge et al., 2016, p. 240). Two randomized control trials (RCT) reviewed by Combes et al. (2012), have shown that prolonged mechanical ventilation, greater than seven days, is linked to higher mortality rates. Many organizations do not follow an algorithm or protocol when it comes to the treatment of ARDS (Sharma et al., 2015). A study conducted by Sharma et al. (2015) found that there are significant variations in treatment modalities among providers when treating adult patients diagnosed with ARDS. Many facilities do not have the infrastructure to support VV-ECMO for patients who require it; therefore, it is not a plan- of-care option (Sharma et al., 2015). This 49-month quantitative, retrospective study utilizing inpatient electronic medical record (EMR) chart review compared if adult patients 30-65 years of age diagnosed with ARDS and cannulated with VV-ECMO up to and within 48 hours of admission and diagnosis, decreased duration on AMV, as compared to participants who were cannulated after 48 hours of admission and diagnosis with ARDS. A total of 305 participants were identified as receiving ECMO during the date range of study. Of the 305 participants who received ECMO, 110 of those participants received VV-ECMO. Of the 110 participants identified as receiving VV-ECMO during the date range of study, 49 participants were excluded due to age requirements and three were excluded due to non-diagnosis of ARDS. Of the total 110 participants, 58 participants met all inclusion and exclusion criteria during the time period queried and were then compared for length of time on AMV. Group 1 were identified as the participants cannulated for VV-ECMO up to and including 48 hours of admission and diagnosis with ARDS and Group 2 was identified as the participants cannulated for VV-ECMO greater than 48 hours after admission and diagnosis with ARDS.

Comparison of ages between the two groups queried identified no clinically significant variance (p = 0.87). The mean age of Group 1 was 50.65 and the mean age of Group 2 was 51.08. The next variable compared was gender of participants in each group. Using Fisher's Exact test to compare the gender of the two groups, there was not a statistically significant difference between genders (p = 0.397).

Comparison of length of days on artificial mechanical ventilation between the two participant groups did not produce a statistically significant difference (p = 0.579). There was a six-day difference in median days on artificial mechanical ventilation between the two comparison groups, although this did not show a statistically significant difference, the data are trending positively, showing that a larger sample size could potentially produce statistical significance. Future studies with larger volumes of participants, comparing the timing of VV-ECMO cannulation in adult participants with ARDS, would be beneficial to determine if the lack of statistical significance in this study is due to small sample size.

The data was then compared using hours on AMV, as opposed to days. The date and time of intubation and extubation were broken down by hours on AMV and then compared between the two study groups. In addition, the length of hours participants were cannulated on VV-ECMO were compared amongst the groups. The mean hours on AMV in Group 1 was 573 hours, while the mean hours on AMV in Group 2 was 628 hours. Although Group 2 showed an increase in the amount of time on AMV, this did not show a statistical significance. A two-tailed independent samples *t*-test between the two groups produced (p=.574).

The comparison between the hours in which the participants were cannulated with VV-ECMO showed that Group 2 had less time cannulated on VV-ECMO than Group 1. The cannulation time was calculated based on the time VV-ECMO was initiated and the time of VV-ECMO decannulation. The two groups were cannulated for similar hours with a mean in Group 1 of 307 hours and a mean in Group 2 of 287 hours. Again, a two-tailed independent samples *t*-test between cannulation times amongst the two groups compared produced (p = .733), which did not show statistical significance.

The remaining data collected were then analyzed to compare survival at discharge and rescue therapies utilized between the two comparison groups. During data collection, it was documented whether or not the participants received any additional rescue therapies prior to or during VV-ECMO therapy. Other rescue therapies utilized included prone positioning, nitric oxide, VDR (high frequency percussive ventilation), and Flolan (inhaled vasodilator). Data findings demonstrated that (33%) of participants in Group 1 received rescue therapies, while (42%) of participants in Group 2 received rescue therapies. Comparing the utilization of rescue therapies among the two groups, did not demonstrate that utilization of additional rescue therapies were statistically significant (p = .514). An increased number of participants in Group 1 received rescue therapies, which may have contributed to the improved survival seen in the data. The final factor analyzed was survival at discharge. During data collection, it was identified if participants expired prior to discharge. Eight of the 39 Group 1 participants expired prior to discharge, while seven of the 19 participants in Group 2 expired prior to discharge. Although there was a larger percentage of participants that expired in Group 2 compared to Group 1 (21% vs 37%), Fischer's Exact test did not demonstrate a statistical significance between the two groups (p = .213).

Although the findings in this study were not statistically significant, there was a decrease in the duration of AMV when participants were cannulated within 48 hours. Earlier liberation from AMV has benefits to both the organization as well as the patients. Decreased length of stay and therefore decreased cost can positively impact the financial aspects of healthcare organizations who perform VV-ECMO. Further studies are needed to determine if earlier initiation of VV-ECMO in adult patients with ARDS decrease time on AMV.

### Title:

Does Earlier Cannulation With VV-ECMO in Patients With ARDS Decrease Duration of AMV?

#### **Keywords:**

Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome, Artificial Mechanical Ventilation and Veno-venous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation

### **References:**

Adhikari, N., Burns, K., Friedrich, J., Granton, J., Cook, D., & Meade M. (2007). Effect of nitric oxide on oxygenation and mortality in acute lung injury: Systematic review and metaanalysis. *British Medical Journal, 334*, 1-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39139.716794.55</u> Antonelli, M., Bonten, M., Chastre, J., Citerio, G., Conti, G., Curtis, J., ... Zhang, H. (2012). Year in review in intensive care medicine 2011: III. ARDS and ECMO, weaning, mechanical ventilation, noninvasive ventilation, pediatrics and miscellanea. *Intensive Care Medicine, 38*, 542-556. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00134-012-2508-1</u>

Aokage, T., Palmer, K., Ichiba, S., & Takeda, S. (2015). Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Journal of Intensive Care*, *3*, 1-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40560-015-0082-7

Beiderlinden, M., Eikermann, M., Boes, T., Breitfeld, C., & Peters, J. (2006). Treatment of severe acute respiratory distress syndrome: Role of extracorporeal gas exchange. *Intensive Care Medicine*, *32*, 1627-1631. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10/1007/s00134-006-0262-y</u>

Bosarge, P., Raff, L., McGwin, G., Carroll, S., Bellot, S., Diaz-Guzman, E., & Kerby, J. (2016). Early initiation of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation improves survival in adult trauma patients with severe adult respiratory distress syndrome. *Journal of Trauma Acute Care Surgery*, *81*(2), 236-243. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/TA.000000000001068</u>

Chiumello, D., & Brioni, M. (2016). Severe hypoxemia: Which strategy to choose. *Critical Care*, 20. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13054-016-1304-7</u>

Combes, A., Bacchetta, M., Brodie, D., Muller, T., & Pellegrino, V. (2012). Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for respiratory failure in adults. *Current Opinions in Critical Care*, *18*, 99-104. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MCC.0b013e32834ef412</u>

Combes, A., Brechot, N., Luyt, C., & Schmidt, M. (2012). What is the niche for extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome? *Current Opinion in Critical Care*, *18*, 527-532. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MCC.0b013e328357f090</u>

Combes, A., Brodie, D., Bartlett, R., Brochard, L., Brower, R., Conrad, S., ... Vuylsteke, A. (2014). Position paper for the organization of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation programs for acute respiratory failure in adult patients. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, *190*(5), 488-496. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201404-0630CP</u>

Dirkes, S., Dickinson, S., Havey, R., & O'Brien, D. (2012). Prone positioning: Is it safe and effective? *Critical Care Nursing Quarterly*, *35*(1), 64-

75. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CNQ.0b013e31823b20c6

Fan, E., Gattinoni, L., Combes, A., Schmidt, M., Peek, G., Brodie, D., ... Papazian, L. (2016). Venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute respiratory failure. *Intensive Care Medicine*, *42*, 712-724. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00134-016-4314-7</u>

Fletcher, K., Chapman, R., & Keene, S. (2018). An overview of medical ECMO for neonates. *Seminars in Perinatology*, 42, 68-79. https://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.semperi.2017.12.002

Gattinoni, L., Tognoni, G., Pesenti, A., Taccone, P., Mascheroni, D., Labarta, V.,...Latini, R. (2001). Effect of prone positioning on the survival of patients with acute respiratory failure. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *345*(8), 568-573. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa010043</u> Guerin, C., Gaillard, S., Lemasson, S., Ayzac, L., Girard, R., Beuret, P.,...Kaidomar, M. (2004). Effects of systematic prone positioning in hypoxemic acute respiratory failure. *Journal of American Medical Association*, *292*(19), 2379-

2387. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.292.19.2379</u>

Haile, D., & Schears, G. (2009). Optimal time for initiating extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. *Seminars in Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia*, *13*(3), 146-153. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1089253209347924

Hanson, M. (2004). Using data from critical care nurses to validate Swanson's phenomenological derived middle range caring theory. *The Journal of Theory Construction & Testing*, 8(1), 21-25. Johnston, L., Rintoul, N., & Ades, A. (2018). Introduction: Update on neonatal extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. *Seminars in Perinatology*, 42, 65-

67. http://doi.org/10.1053/j.semperi.2017.12.001

Linden, V., Palmer, K., Reinhard, J., Westman, R., Ehren, H., Granholm, T., & Frenckner, B. (2000). High survival in adult patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome treated by extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, minimal sedation, and pressure supported ventilation. *Intensive Care Medicine*, *26*, 1630-1637. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001340000697</u> Mancebo, J., Fernandez, R., Blanch, L., Rialp, G., Gordo, F., Ferrer, M.,...Albert, R. (2006). A multicenter trial of prolonged prone ventilation in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. *American Journal of Respiratory Critical Care Medicine*, *173*, 1233-1239. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200503-3530C

Messai, E., Bouguerra, A., Guarracino, F., & Bonacchi, M. (2016). Low blood arterial oxygenation during venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: Proposal for a rational algorithm-based management. *Journal of Intensive Care Medicine*, *31*(8), 553-560. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0885066616649134</u>

Mitchell, M., Mikkelsen, M., Umscheid, C., Lee, I., Fuchs, B., & Halpern, S. (2010). A systematic review to inform institutional decisions about the use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation during the H1N1 influenza pandemic. *Critical Care Medicine*, *36*(6), 1398-1404. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181de45db

Morimont, P., Batchinsky, A., & Lambermont, B. (2015). Update on the role of extracoporeal co2 removal as an adjunct to mechanical ventilation in ARDS. *Critical Care*, *19*, 117-123. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13054-015-0799-7h

Munshi, L., Telesnicki, T., Walkey, A., & Fan, E. (2014). Extracorporeal life support for acute respiratory failure: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Annals of the American Thoracic Society*, *11*(5), 802-810. <u>https://doi.org/10.1513/AnnalsATS.201401-012OC</u>

Noah, M., Peek, G., Finney, S., Griffiths, M., Harrison, D., Grieve, R., ... Rowan, K. (2011). Referral to an extracorporeal membrane oxygenation center and mortality among patients with severe 2009 influenza A (H1N1). *Journal of the American Medical Association*, *306* (15), 1659-1668. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2011.1471</u>

Papazian, L., Forel, J., Gacouin, A., Penot-Ragon, C., Perrin, G., Loundou, A.,...Roch, A. (2011). Neuromuscular blockers in early acute respiratory distress syndrome. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *363*, 1107-1116. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/cc10470</u>

Pham, T., Combes, A., Roze, H., Chevret, S., Mercat, A., Roch, A., ... Brochard, L. (2013). Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for pandemic influenza A (H1N1)-induced acute

respiratory distress syndrome. *American Journal of Respiratory Critical Care Medicine*, 187(3), 276-285. <u>https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201205-08150C</u>

Sharma, N. S., Wille, K. M., Zhi, D., Thannickal, V. J., Brodie, D. M., Hoopes, C. W., & Diaz-Guzman, E. (2015). Use of ECMO in the management of severe acute respiratory distress syndrome: A survey of academic medical centers in the United States. *American Society of Artificial Internal Organs*, *61*, 556-563. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MAT.000000000000245</u>
Shekar, K., Davies, A., Mullany, D., Tiruvoipati, R., & Fraser, J. (2013). To ventilate, oscillate, or cannulate? *Journal of Critical Care*, *28*(5), 655-662. Retrieved from <u>www.jccjournal.org</u>
Squiers, J. J., Lima, B., & DiMaio, J. M. (2016). Contemporary extracorporeal membrane oxygenation therapy in adults: Fundamental principles and systematic review of the

evidence. The Journal of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery, 152(1), 20-32.

Sud, S., Sud, M., O'Friedrich, J., O'Meade, M., Ferguson, N., Wunsch, H., & Adhikari, N. (2010). High-frequency oscillation in patients with acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS): Systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Medical Journal*, *340*(c2327). http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c2327

Swanson, K.M. (1991). Empirical development of a middle-range theory of caring. *Nursing Research*, 40, 161-166.

Terragni, P., Maiolo, G., & Ranieri, V. (2012). Role and potentials of low-flow CO2 removal system in mechanical ventilation. *Current Opinions in Critical Care, 18*, 93-98. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MCC.0b013e32834f17ef</u>

Tulman, D., Stawicki, S., Whitson, B., Gupta, S., Tripathi, R., Firstenberg, M., ... Papadimos, T. (2014). Veno-venous ECMO: A synopsis of nine key potential challenges, considerations, and controversies. *Biomed Central Anesthesiology*, *14*, 1-11. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2253-14-65</u>

Wallace, D. J., Angus, D. C., Seymour, C. W., Yealy, D. M., Carr, B. G., Kurland, K., ... Kahn, J. M. (2014). Geographic access to high capability severe acute respiratory failure centers in the United States. *Public Library of Science One*, *9*(4). <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0094057</u> Wilson, J., & Matthay, M. (2014). Mechanical ventilation in acute hypoxemic respiratory failure: A review of new strategies for the practicing hospitalist. *Journal of Hospital Medicine*, *9*(7), 469-475. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jhm.2191</u>

Wu, M., Huang, C., Wu, T., Wang, C., & Lin, P. (2016). Venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute respiratory distress syndrome in adults. *Medicine*, *95*(8), 1-9. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000002870</u>

Young, D., Lamb, S., Shah, S., Mackenzie, I., Tunnicliffe, W., Lall, R., Rowan, K., & Cuthbertson, B. (2013). High frequency oscillation for acute respiratory distress syndrome. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *368*, 806-813. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1215716</u>

## **Abstract Summary:**

A scholarly project completed by a Doctor of Nursing Practice student at DeSales University who completed a 49-month quantitative, retrospective study utilizing inpatient electronic medical record (EMR) chart review to compare the clinical outcomes related to the timing of VV-ECMO in adult patients with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS).

# **Content Outline:**

Content Outline

1. Introduction

- 2. Statement of Problem
- 3. Aims and Objectives
- 4. Background and Significance
- 5. Literature Review
- 6. Study Design
- 7. Data Collection Methods
- 8. Data Analysis Methods
- 9. Ethical Considerations
- 10. Data Analysis
- 11. Strengths and Weaknesses III. Conclusion

First Primary Presenting Author Primary Presenting Author Christine M. Hartner, DNP Lehigh Valley Health Network Patient Care Services Administrator Allentown PA USA

**Author Summary:** Christine Hartner is a 2018 Doctor of Nursing Practice graduate from DeSales University. Christine began her nursing career at Lehigh Valley Health Network in 1997 after completing her BSN from The Pennsylvania State University. Christine specialized her nursing career in Critical Care. Christine moved into leadership over 10 years ago and is currently the Administrator for Patient Care Services.