

Table of Contents

About the Authors.viii
Foreword by Bernadette Mazurek Melnyk xvii
Introductionxix

1 EXTENDING THE USE OF EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE TO HEALTH POLICYMAKING1

The Use of Evidence in Policymaking 2
The Evolution of Evidence-Based Practice. 2
Adapting EBP for Use in Health Policy 8
Why Now Is the Time: Reaching Critical Mass. 10
The Use of Research and Evidence in Policymaking in Other Countries 11
The Imperative for Using Evidence in Health Policymaking 13
Evidence-Based Versus Evidence-Informed. 14
Definitions of Evidence-Informed Policymaking. 15
Summary 17
References. 18

2 USING EVIDENCE: THE CHANGING LANDSCAPE IN HEALTH POLICYMAKING21

The Emerging and Controversial Uses of Science, Research, and Evidence in Policymaking 22
Sources of Guidance for Evaluating Evidence to Inform Policymaking 29
Examples of the Use and Influence of Evidence on Policymaking and Practice. 32
The Changing Perspectives on the Use of Evidence and Big Data 36

	Strategies for Using Evidence in Policymaking for Nurses and Other Healthcare Professionals	38
	Summary	39
	References.	40
3	HEALTH POLICY AND POLITICS.	43
	Key Terms Related to Health Policy	44
	Health Policy as an Entity and as a Process	48
	Differentiating the Big P and Little P in Health Policy	50
	Politics in Health Policymaking	52
	Nurses and Health Policy	55
	Chaos Theory, the Butterfly Effect, and the Charge to Advance Health Policy Change	58
	Summary	58
	References.	59
4	GOVERNMENT STRUCTURES AND FUNCTIONS THAT DRIVE PROCESS.	63
	The Three Branches of Government	64
	The Legislative Branch: The Senate and the House of Representatives	65
	The Executive Branch: The Offices of the President and Vice President and the Cabinet	72
	The Judicial Branch: The Supreme Court and Federal Courts	79
	The Structure and Function of State and Local Governments.	81
	The Structure and Function of Executive Branch Agencies and Regulatory Boards.	83
	Summary	85
	References.	85

5	POLICYMAKING PROCESSES AND MODELS	89
	How a Bill Becomes a Law	90
	How Regulations Are Made	98
	Policy Process Frameworks and Models	103
	Partisan Politics, the Importance of Cost, Stakeholder Influence, and Expert Opinion	112
	Summary	115
	References	115
6	AN OVERVIEW OF AN EVIDENCE-INFORMED HEALTH POLICY MODEL FOR NURSING	119
	Where Does Evidence Fit in Health Policymaking?	120
	Comparing an Evidence-Informed Health Policy (EIHP) Model and EBP Models	121
	Components of EIHP and EBP Models: A Comparison	123
	The Eight Steps of an EIHP Model	126
	Summary	136
	References	136
7	THE FOUNDATION: STEPS 0 THROUGH 3	139
	Introduction to Steps 0 Through 3	140
	Step 0: Cultivate a Spirit of Inquiry in the Policymaking Culture or Environment	140
	Step 1: Ask the Policy Question in the PICOT Format	144
	Step 2: Search For and Collect the Most Relevant Best Evidence	155
	Step 3: Critically Appraise the Evidence	161

	Summary	167
	References.	168
8	POLICY PRODUCTION: STEPS 4 AND 5.	171
	Introduction to Steps 4 and 5	172
	Step 4: Integrate the Best Evidence With Issue Expertise and Stakeholder Values and Ethics	173
	Step 5: Contribute to the Health Policy Development and Implementation Process	185
	Summary	192
	References.	192
9	FOLLOW-THROUGH: STEPS 6 AND 7	195
	Introduction to Steps 6 and 7	196
	Step 6: Frame the Policy Change for Dissemination to the Affected Parties.	196
	Step 7: Evaluate the Effectiveness of the Policy Change and Disseminate Findings	204
	Summary	216
	References.	217
10	EVIDENCE-INFORMED HEALTH POLICYMAKING: CHALLENGES AND STRATEGIES	221
	Challenges With and Strategies for Advancing the Use of Evidence by Policymakers.	222
	Sweeping Reform or Incrementalism: Developing Realistic Expectations.	223
	Disagreement From Within: Establishing Ground Rules	230
	Engaging With External Stakeholders.	233
	Functioning in Complex Political Systems	235

	Taking Advantage of the Window of Opportunity . . .	236
	Summary	237
	References.	238
A	RESOURCES	241
	United States Federal Government Resources	242
	US-Focused Nongovernmental Resources	246
	Global Resources	247
	General Resources for Practice	249
	References.	253
B	GLOBAL EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE- INFORMED POLICYMAKING: AN ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY.	255
	Africa	256
	Middle East/Western Asia	259
	Western Europe	261
	South Asia	264
	Oceania	265
	Multi-Region/Collaborative Papers	266
	INDEX.	271



INDEX



A

- AAAS (American Association for the Advancement of Science), 246
- ACA (Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act), 44
- AcademyHealth, 249
- ACE (Academic Center for Evidence-Based Practice) Star Model of Knowledge Transformation, 4–5
- ACF (Advocacy Coalition Framework), Sabatier, 106–107
- administrative code. *See* rules/regulations, process of enactment
- Administrative Procedures Act (APA), 83–84
- Administrative Procedures Act of 1946, 99
- Advancing Research and Clinical Practice Through Close Collaboration (ARCC) Model, 5–6, 122
- advocacy, 106–107, 185–186
- Advocacy Coalition Framework (ACF), Sabatier, 106–107
- Africa, EIHP example, 266–267
- agencies and regulatory boards, 83–85. *See also* rules/regulations, process of enactment
- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 181
- amendment exchanges, 97
- American Academy of Family Physicians, 35
- American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), 246
- American Cancer Society, 35
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 35
- American College of Radiology, 34
- ANA (American Nurses Association), Code of Ethics for Nurses with Interpretive Statements, 57
- APA (Administrative Procedures Act), 83–84
- appellate jurisdiction, 80
- ARCC (Advancing Research and Clinical Practice Through Close Collaboration) Model, 5–6, 122
- “Assessing the Applicability of the Findings of a Systematic Review” SUPPORT tool, 251
- Australia, EIHP example, 265, 267

B

- bankruptcy courts, 80
- bicameral legislative branch, 65
- big data, health policymaking, 36–37
- bills, lawmaking process
- addition to chamber’s calendar, 95
 - assignment of number, 98
 - committees
 - consideration by, 94–95
 - introduction and referral to, 93–94
 - debate/vote
 - House, 95–96
 - Senate, 96–97

- frameworks/models
 - CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention)
 - policy analytical framework, 108–109, 181
 - force-field analysis (Lewin), 109–112
 - Kingdon’s streams model, 104–106, 236–237
 - Sabatier’s ACF (Advocacy Coalition Framework), 106–107
 - ideas for new/revised laws, 91–93
 - incorporation into US code, 98
 - presidential approval or veto, 97–98
 - resolution of differences, 97
 - bounded rationality, 121–122
 - British Medical Association, 263–264
 - butterfly theory, 58
- C**
- cabinet, executive branch, 74–79
 - Campbell Collaboration, 30
 - Canada
 - EBP in health policymaking, 12
 - EIHP example, 268–269
 - Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement (CFHI), 181
 - Healthcare Improvement Model, 249
 - Organizational Assessment Tool, 249
 - Canadian Institutes of Health Research, 181
 - Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care, 34
 - caucuses, 69
 - CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention)
 - EPT (expedited partner therapy), 33–34
 - infection control guidelines, 52
 - “Overview of CDC’s Policy Process,” 245
 - policy analytical framework, 108–109, 181, 209–213
 - policymaking, 181, 245
 - CEBHA+ (Collaboration for Evidence-Based Healthcare and Public Health in Africa), 266
 - CEP (Commission on Evidence-Based Policymaking), 13, 16–17, 37
 - CFHI (Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement), 181
 - Healthcare Improvement Model, 249
 - Organizational Assessment Tool, 249
 - CFR (Code of Federal Regulations), 103, 245
 - chaos and butterfly theory, 58
 - checks and balances of government, 64
 - Christmas tree bills, 95
 - clinical expertise, 123–124
 - Cochrane Collaboration website, 157
 - Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 157
 - Code of Ethics for Nurses with Interpretive Statements, 57
 - Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), 103, 245

collaboration

- ARCC (Advancing Research and Clinical Practice Through Close Collaboration) Model, 5–6, 122
- Campbell Collaboration, 30
- Cochrane Collaboration website, 157
- meetings, ground rules, 232–233
- with stakeholders, 233

Collaboration for Evidence-Based Healthcare and Public Health in Africa (CEBHA+), 266

colloquial evidence, 23

Commission on Evidence-Based Policymaking (CEP), 13, 16–17, 37

Committee of the Whole, 96

committees, legislative branch bills

- consideration of, 94–95
- introduction and referral to, 93–94

joint, 66, 68, 71

- select or special, 66
- standing/permanent, 65, 68, 71
- temporary, 68

companion bills, 93

comprehensive rationality, 121

conference committees, 66

Congress

- House of Representatives, 69–72
- Senate, 66–69

Constitution of the United States, balance of power, 64

context-based evidence. *See* colloquial evidence

coordinating groups, 30

critical appraisals, 132, 161–162

D

Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects, 157

“Dealing With Insufficient Research Evidence” SUPPORT tool, 252

“Deciding How Much Confidence to Place in a Systematic Review” SUPPORT tool, 251

Department of Agriculture (USDA), 75

Department of Commerce, 75

Department of Defense (DOD), 75

Department of Education, 75

Department of Energy (DOE), 76

Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), 76

functions of, 76

- Maternal, Infant, and Early Childhood Home Visiting Program, 31
- Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program, 31

Department of Homeland Security, 76

Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), 76

Department of Justice, 77

Department of Labor, 77

Department of State, 77

Department of the Interior (DOI), 77

Department of the Treasury, 78

Department of Transportation (DOT), 78
 Department of Veterans Affairs, 78
 direct lobbying, 185–186
 discharge petitions, 96
 DOD (Department of Defense), 75
 DOE (Department of Energy), 76
 DOI (Department of the Interior), 77
 DOT (Department of Transportation), 78

E

EBM (evidence-based medicine)
 evidence, definition of, 24–25
 evolution to EBP, 3–4
 EBP (evidence-based practice) and policymaking
 big data use, 36–37
 current importance of EBP, 10–11
 definition of, Melnyk and Fineout-Overholt, 4, 123
 evolution of, 2–4
 examples, 34–35
 models
 ACE (Academic Center for Evidence-Based Practice) Star Model of Knowledge Transformation, 4–5
 adapting to benefit policymaking, 8–10
 applying, 8
 ARCC (Advancing Research and Clinical Practice Through Close Collaboration) Model, 5–6, 122
 components of, 123–126
 versus EIHP models, 14–15, 121–126, 129, 135
 Iowa Model, 6
 JHNEBP (Johns Hopkins Nursing EBP) Model, 7
 Melnyk and Fineout-Overholt, 17, 122–123
 PARIHS (Promoting Action on Research Implementation in Health Services) Framework, 7
 Stetler Model, 7–8
 necessity of using, 13–14
 for nurses and healthcare professionals, 38–39
 PICOT, use of
 EIHP versus EBP, 129
 for retrospective analysis, 130
 e-CFR (Electronic Code of Federal Regulations), 103, 245
 EIHP (evidence-informed health policy) model
 components of, 123–126
 definitions of, 15–17, 122–123
 versus EBP models, 14–15, 121–126, 129, 135
 global examples
 Africa with Germany and others, 266–267
 Australia, 265, 267
 Canada, 268–269
 Ghana, 256
 Malawi, 257
 Netherlands, 268
 Nigeria, 258

- Palestine, 260–261
- Scotland, 261–262
- Sweden, 262–263
- Uganda, 258–259
- UK (United Kingdom),
263–264, 267
- US (United States), 267–269
- importance of, 15
- role in policymaking, 120–121
- Step 0–cultivating spirit of inquiry, 127, 140
 - formulating background/
foreground questions, 143
 - raising inquiries, 141–143
- Step 1–asking questions in PICOT format, 127–130, 144–155
 - clinical questions, 147–148, 150–152
 - constructing questions, 146–147
 - focused questions, 145
 - health policy questions, 150–152
 - retrospective analysis, 130, 153–155
 - samples, 148–155
 - uses of questions, 145
- Step 2–searching for/collecting evidence, 130–132
 - global evidence/systematic reviews, 155–158
 - local evidence, 156, 159–161
- Step 3–appraising evidence critically, 132
 - framing and communicating synthesis of evidence, 166–167
 - qualitative evidence, 162–163
 - quantitative evidence, 161–162
 - systematic reviews and/or meta-analyses, 163–165
- Step 4–integrating evidence with issue expertise and stakeholder concerns, 132–133
 - issue expertise categories, 173–175
 - knowledge translation guidelines, 183–184
 - research organizations, 181–182
 - stakeholder analysis, 178–181, 184
 - stakeholder ethics, 177–178
 - stakeholder values, 175–176
- Step 5–contributing to policy development and implementation, 133–134
 - building relationships with policymakers, 190–191
 - development stages, 185–186
 - implementation stage, 191–192
 - preparing/giving testimony, 186–189
- Step 6–framing policy changes for dissemination, 134, 196–197
 - examples, 201–204
 - improving dissemination, 198–199
 - knowing stakeholders, 197–198
 - responsibility for dissemination, 200–201

- Step 7—evaluating changes and disseminating findings, 134
 - formative versus summative evaluations, 208–209
 - policy evaluation, 204–205
 - policy evaluation, CDC steps, 209–213
 - policy evaluation, communicating to target audiences, 213–214
 - policy evaluation, example, 215–216
 - policy evaluation or monitoring, 205–208
 - Electoral College, 73
 - Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC), 37
 - “Engaging the Public in Evidence-Informed Policymaking” SUPPORT tool, 252
 - “Engaging With Academics: How to Further Strengthen Open Policymaking,” 248
 - Enzi, Congressman Michael, 186
 - EOP (Executive Office of the President), 74
 - EPIC (Electronic Privacy Information Center), 37
 - EPT (expedited partner therapy), state laws, 33–34
 - Ethiopia, EIHP example, 266–267
 - European Commission, 16, 250
 - evidence-based medicine (EBM)
 - evidence, definition of, 24–25
 - evolution to EBP, 3–4
 - Evidence-Based Policymaking Commission Act of 2016, 37
 - evidence-based practice and policymaking. *See* EBP (evidence-based practice) and policymaking
 - Evidence-Based Practice in Nursing and Healthcare: A Guide to Best Practice* (3rd edition), 165
 - evidence-informed health policy model. *See* EIHP (evidence-informed health policy) model
 - Evidence-Informed Policy Network, 15
 - EVIPNet KTP (Knowledge Translation Platform), 15–16
 - executive branch. *See also* lawmaking process, bills agencies and regulatory boards, 83–85 cabinet, 74–79 president/vice president, 72–74
 - Executive Office of the President (EOP), 74
 - executive orders, 72–73
 - expedited partner therapy (EPT), state laws, 33–34
- ## F
- fact sheets, 189
 - federal courts, 79–80
 - Federal Register
 - executive orders, 73
 - federal regulations, dissemination of, 200
 - proposed rules
 - advance notice of, 101
 - publication of final rules, 102
 - Regulations.gov Help pages, 102–103
 - resource, 244–245

- filibusters, 96
- “Finding and Using Evidence About Local Conditions” SUPPORT tool, 252
- “Finding and Using Research Evidence About Resource Use and Costs” SUPPORT tool, 252
- “Finding Systematic Reviews” SUPPORT tool, 251
- 5 Million Lives Campaign, 196
- force-field analysis (Lewin), 109–112
- Foundations for Evidence-Based Policymaking Act of 2017 (not passed), 37
- fractals, 58
- House of Representatives, 69–72
- Senate, 66–69
- policies versus organizational policies, 50–52
- state and local, 81–82
- governors, 81
- GovTrack.us, 246–247
- GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment Development and Evaluation) system, 29–30, 247–248
- grass-roots lobbying, 186
- “A Guide to Engaging with Government for Academics,” 249

G

- Germany, EIHP example, 266–267
- Gerry, Governor Elbridge, 70
- gerrymandering, 70
- Ghana, EIHP example, 256
- global evidence, 155–158
- Goodwin, Doris Kearns, 230
- government
 - executive branch
 - agencies and regulatory boards, 83–85
 - cabinet, 74–79
 - president/vice president, 72–74
 - judicial branch, Supreme Court/federal courts, 79–80
 - legislative branch
 - committees, 65–68, 71

H

- Healthcare Improvement Model, CFHI, 249
- health policies/policymaking
 - Commission on Evidence-Based Policymaking, 13
 - context for evidence, 22–23
 - definitions
 - of health policies, 46–47, 205
 - of policies, 44–45
 - of public policies, 45
 - as entity, laws and regulations, 48–49
 - evidence evaluation
 - Campbell Collaboration, 30
 - GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment Development and Evaluation) system, 29–30, 247–248

- Results for America, 31–32
 - WHO Handbook for Guideline Development, 30, 247
 - evidence hierarchies, 23–27
 - evidence, definition of, 24–25
 - information products, 28
 - structure of, 24
 - use in policymaking, 28–29
 - frameworks/models
 - CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) policy analytical framework, 108–109
 - force-field analysis (Lewin), 109–112
 - Kingdon’s streams model, 104–106
 - Sabatier’s ACF (Advocacy Coalition Framework), 106–107
 - government versus organizational policies, 50–52
 - in other countries, 11–13
 - policymakers
 - disagreement within, 231
 - and external stakeholders, 233–235
 - ground rules for meetings, 232–233
 - mentors, 236
 - and politics, 52
 - cost factors, 113
 - expert opinions, 114–115
 - lobbyists, 54–55
 - partisan politics, 112–113
 - political parties, 53–54
 - stakeholders, 54–55, 114
 - as process, 49–50
 - reform, incrementalism, or compromise, 223–230
 - relationship with health of populations, 47–48
 - Health Sector Reform Initiative, 248
 - HealthTracks project, 265
 - HHS (Department of Health and Human Services)
 - CDC agency, 245
 - functions of, 76
 - Maternal, Infant, and Early Childhood Home Visiting Program, 31
 - Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program, 31
 - Hinari (health and biomedical literature), 157
 - Hopper, 93
 - House of Representatives, 69–72
 - HUD (Department of Housing and Urban Development), 76
- I**
- “I’m Just a Bill,” 90
 - “Improving How Your Organization Supports the Use of Research Evidence to Inform Policymaking” SUPPORT tool, 251
 - incrementalism, 224–225
 - intersectoral assessment, 12
 - Iowa Model, 6

J

JAMA (Journal of the American Medical Association), 202
 JHNEBP (Johns Hopkins Nursing EBP) Model, 7
 joint committees, legislative branch, 66, 68, 71
 Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA), 202
 judicial branch, 79–80
 judicial review power, 80

K

keeper studies, 132, 161
 Kingdon's streams model, 104–106, 236–237
 knowledge translation approaches, 15–16, 183–184, 222–223
 KTP (Knowledge Translation Platform), EVIPNet, 15–16

L

lawmaking process, bills
 addition to chamber's calendar, 95
 assignment of number, 98
 committees
 consideration by, 94–95
 introduction and referral to, 93–94
 debate/vote
 House, 95–96
 Senate, 96–97

frameworks/models
 CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) policy analytical framework, 108–109, 181
 force-field analysis (Lewin), 109–112
 Kingdon's streams model, 104–106, 236–237
 Sabatier's ACF (Advocacy Coalition Framework), 106–107
 ideas for new/revised laws, 91–93
 incorporation into US code, 98
 presidential approval or veto, 97–98
 resolution of differences, 97
 legislative branch. *See also* lawmaking process, bills committees
 bills, consideration of, 94–95
 bills, introduction and referral to, 93–94
 joint, 66, 68, 71
 select or special, 66
 Senate, 68
 standing, 65
 standing/permanent, 65, 68, 71
 temporary, 68
 House of Representatives, 69–72
 Senate, 66–69
 Lewin, Kurt, 109–111
 Lindblom, Charles, 224
 line-item veto power, 81
 lobbying/lobbyists
 direct lobbying, 185–186
 grass-roots lobbying, 186

health policies/policymaking, 54–55
 lawmaking process, 91
 local evidence, 131, 156, 159–161
 local/state governments
 laws/regulations, dissemination of, 200–201
 structure/functions, 81–82

M

The Magenta Book: Guidance for Evaluation, 215
 Malawi, EIHP example, 257, 266–267
 Maternal, Infant, and Early Childhood Home Visiting Program, 31
 McMaster Health Forum/Find Evidence, 157
 “Measuring Law for Evaluation Research,” 215
 MEDLINE, 157
 Melnyk and Fineout-Overholt
 EBP model, 17, 122–123
Evidence-Based Practice in Nursing and Healthcare: A Guide to Best Practice (3rd edition), 165
 Morbidity and Mortality Weekly, 202

N

National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 34

National Council of State Boards of Nursing, 13
 National Institutes of Health, 181
 Netherlands
 EBP in health policymaking, 12
 EIHP example, 268
 Nigeria, EIHP example, 258
 “9 Ways to Make Federal Legislation Evidence-Based: 2017 What Works Guide for Congress,” 31
 nurses, health policies/policymaking, 55–57

O

OBM (Office of Management and Budget), 100–101
 ODI (Overseas Development Institute), 16
 Office of the Federal Register (resource), 244
 OIRA (Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs), 100, 102
 100,000 Lives campaign, 196
 one-pagers, 189
 opinion leaders, 199
 “Organising and Using Policy Dialogues to Support Evidence-Informed Policymaking”
 SUPPORT tool, 252
 Organizational Assessment Tool, CFHI, 249
 organizational versus government policies, 50–52
 Overseas Development Institute (ODI), 16

P

- PACs (political action committees), 91
- Palestine, EIHP example, 260–261
- PARIHS (Promoting Action on Research Implementation in Health Services) Framework, 7
- Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA), 44
- permanent committees
 - House of Representatives, 71
 - Senate, 69
- PICOT format, 128–130, 144–155
- “Planning Monitoring and Evaluation of Policies” SUPPORT tool, 252
- pocket vetoes, 92, 98
- policy champions, 198–199
- polycymaking. *See* health policies/ polycymaking
- Policy Toolkit for Strengthening Health Sector Reform, 248
- policy windows, 105
- political action committees (PACs), 91
- politics and health policies/ polycymaking
 - lobbyists, 54–55
 - partisan politics, 112–113
 - political parties, 53–54
 - stakeholders, 54–55
- “Preparing and Using Policy Briefs to Support Evidence-Informed Polycymaking” SUPPORT tool, 252
- president/vice president, 72–74

- Promoting Action on Research Implementation in Health Services (PARIHS) Framework, 7
- Public Health Law Research, 215
- PubMed, 157

R

- rationality, comprehensive and bounded, 121
- RCAs (rapid critical appraisals), 161–165
- redistricting state legislative districts, 70
- regulations. *See* rules/regulations, process of enactment
- “Research Insights: Rapid Evidence Reviews for Health Policy and Practice,” 249
- Results for America, 31–32
- retrospective analysis, 130, 153–155
- revised code, 48, 200
- Revised Statutes of the United States, 200
- Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (RWJF), 215
- rules/regulations, process of enactment, 98–100. *See also* agencies and regulatory boards
 - Administrative Procedures Act of 1946, 99
 - draft and review of, 101
 - frameworks/models
 - CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) policy analytical framework, 108–109

- force-field analysis (Lewin), 109–112
 - Kingdon's streams model, 104–106
 - Sabatier's ACF (Advocacy Coalition Framework), 106–107
 - initiating events, 101
 - versus laws, 98
 - NPRM (notice of proposed rulemaking), 101–102
 - publication of, 102–103, 200–201
 - review of input/costs and finalization, 102
 - state processes, 103, 201
 - Rwanda, EIHP example, 266–267
 - RWJF (Robert Wood Johnson Foundation), 215
- S**
- Sabatier's ACF (Advocacy Coalition Framework), 106–107
 - Schoolhouse Rock educational series, 90
 - Scotland, EIHP example, 261–262
 - select committees, legislative branch, 66
 - Senate, 66–69
 - seriality, 225
 - “Setting Priorities for Supporting Evidence-Informed Policymaking” SUPPORT tool, 251
 - South Africa, EIHP example, 266–267
 - special committees, legislative branch, 66
 - stable actors. *See* lobbying/lobbyists
 - “Stakeholder Analysis Guidelines,” 248
 - stakeholders
 - ethics, 177–178
 - health policies/policymaking, 54–55, 114, 233–235
 - analysis of, 178–181, 184
 - values, 175–176
 - standing/permanent committees, legislative branch, 65, 68, 71
 - state/local governments
 - laws/regulations, dissemination of, 200–201
 - structure/functions, 81–82
 - State of the Union address, 73
 - statutory authorities, 49, 98–99
 - Stetler Model, 7–8
 - “SUPPORT Tools for Evidence-Informed Health Policymaking (STP),” 16, 250–252
 - streams, Kingdon's streams model, 104–106, 236–237
 - succession, lines of, 74
 - sunset laws/provisions, 50
 - Supreme Court, 79–80
 - Sweden, EIHP example, 262–263
 - systematic reviews, 155–158, 163–165
- T**
- “Taking Equity Into Consideration When Assessing the Findings of a Systematic Review” SUPPORT tool, 252

Team of Rivals, 230
 Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program, 31
 temporary legislative committees, 68
 TFT (Tit for Tat), 234–235

U

Uganda, EIHP example, 258–259, 266–267
 UK (United Kingdom)
 EBP in health policymaking, 12
 EIHP example, 263–264, 267
 UK Government Office for Science, 248–249
 unanimous consent agreements, 97
 unified agenda, 99
 United Kingdom. *See* UK (United Kingdom)
 United States Code, 200
 United Statutes at Large, 98
 US (United States), EIHP example, 267–269
 USA.gov (resource), 242
 US Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces, 80
 US Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims, 80
 USDA (Department of Agriculture), 75
 US Department of Commerce, 75
 US Department of Defense (DOD), 75
 US Department of Education, 75
 US Department of Energy (DOE), 76
 US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
 functions of, 76
 Maternal, Infant, and Early Childhood Home Visiting Program, 31
 Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program, 31
 US Department of Homeland Security, 76
 US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), 76
 US Department of Justice, 77
 US Department of Labor, 77
 US Department of State, 77
 US Department of the Interior (DOI), 77
 US Department of the Treasury, 78
 US Department of Transportation (DOT), 78
 US Department of Veterans Affairs, 78
 US House of Representatives, 69–72
 US House of Representatives (resource), 244
 “Using Research Evidence in Balancing the Pros and Cons of Policies” SUPPORT tool, 252
 “Using Research Evidence to Address How an Option Will Be Implemented” SUPPORT tool, 251
 “Using Research Evidence to Clarify a Problem” SUPPORT tool, 251
 “Using Research Evidence to Frame Options to Address a Problem” SUPPORT tool, 251
 US Preventive Services Task Force, 35

US Senate, 66–69, 243

US Tax Court, 80

V

veto power

governors, 81

legislative branch, 64

president, 72

vice president/president, 72–74

W

“What Is Evidence-Informed Policymaking?” SUPPORT tool, 251

The White House (resource), 243

WHO (World Health

Organization)

Bulletin, 256, 266–267, 268

EVIPNet KTP (Knowledge Translation Platform), evidence-informed health policymaking, 15–16

Handbook for Guideline Development, 30, 247

“Working With Congress: A Scientist’s Guide to Policy,” 246

World Health Organization.

See WHO (World Health Organization)