

Development and validation of a tool to measure safety climate in hospital

Ying Siou Lin1, Meei-Fang Lou, PhD, RN2, Yen-Chun Lin, PhD, RN2 ¹Department of Nursing, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan ²School of Nursing, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan





Introduction

- . Chemotherapy drugs meet the definition of hazardous drugs (HDs) (NIOSH 2004)
- · Carcinogenicity, teratogenicity, reproductive toxicity, genotoxicity and organ toxicity at low doses.
- . Nurses take measures for the safe handling of HDs to reduce exposure to risk (Connor & McDiarmid, 2006).
- . Even with clearly defined policies for reducing HD exposure, nurse compliance with safety and protection measures is not ideal (Boiano, Steege, & Sweeney, 2014).
- · Nurses perceived safety climate was the factor related to the adoption of precautions (DeJov et al., 2017; He et al., 2017Polovich & Clark, 2012).
- · But, most safety climate instruments focus on the dimension of safety outcomes for patients.(Lin, Lin, & Lou. 2017)
- · One common measuring tool is an employee safety climate test developed by Gershon et al. (2000), and Polovich and Clark (2012) modified the items such that questions were pertinent to HD handling
- Consider cultural and medical environment differences. we develop a tool to measure safety climate in hospital working environment.
- . This ongoing project will develop a tool to measure safety climate in hospital working environment and to test its validity to be used for nurses.

Methods

analysis

Oualitative

research

. This study will use a mixed method which combined both qualitative and quantitative designs

· Three attributes to safety climate in healthcare providers

- 1. Creation of safe working environment by senior Concept management in healthcare organizations:
 - 2. Shared perception of healthcare providers about safety of their working environment; 3. The effective dissemination of safety information.
 - · Conduct a qualitative study to find out their perceptions and experience of safety climate and
 - develop survey items · Semi-structured in-depth interviews were conducted with 11 nurses
 - · Content analysis
 - · Six dimensions

- Generating items
- · Based on the results of the qualitative research. · Drafting individual items avoiding bias ambiguity and hadly phrased or irrelevant question
 - · Compare to questionnaire modified by Polovich and Clark (2012) to find out similarity and difference
- Psychometric validation

Response

format

- · Five-point Likert scale on each statement (1=Strongly Disagree, 2= Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strong Agree)
- · Expert consultation
- · Face validity · Construct validity
- · Internal consistency
- · Test-retest

Results and discussion

Oualitative research

This phase work identified six conditions associated with workplace safety climate that influence the nurses' experience of handling chemotherapy (below Table)

Generating 45 items

	Item numbers
The perception of creation of safe working environment by the organization manager	7
The perception of creation of safe working environment by their supervisor	8
Interaction between employees and supervisor	7
Interaction with other nurses in their work unit	9
The perception of uses personal protective equipment	9
The perception of clinical situation affect safety performance	5
Total items	45

Compared to questionnaire of Polovich and Clark (2012)

	Our own	I olovich and	reem
		Clark (2012)	numbers
Section 1	√	√	15
Section 2	√		30
Section 3		V	6

- Section 1
- · We used our own generate items, because participants familiar with the statement. And our own questionnaire items were more specific for handling chemotherapy (See Table 1 for sample items).

Table 1 Sample items of similarity

	Our own	Polovich and Clark (2012)	
	My colleagues could help me	The members of my work area	
	with clinical nursing activity.	support one another	
	My supervisor could lead the	In my work area, there is open	
	way in discussion the issue of	communication between	
	safe handling chemotherapy.	supervisors and staff	
	My supervisor could find a way	Managers on my unit do their	
	to help us to implement a policy	part to insure employees'	
	of safe handling chemotherapy.	protection from occupational	
		exposure to chemotherapy.	
Section 2			

- · The main distinguishing feature of our own is under our culture and medical environment (See Table 2 for sample items).

To comply with safe handling

I feel free to express my concerns about

I am willing to discuss with my supervisor

My colleagues could remind each other of

about potential health hazards at work.

I always practice what my preached by

using personal protective equipment

using personal protective equipment

It is easy to wear double gloves.

It is comfortable to wear gowns.

exposure chemotherapy issue to my

Table 2 Sample items of our own questionnaire

chemotherapy precaution established by our The perception of creation of organization can avoid the risk of exposure safe working environment by chemotherapy. the organization manager I was familiar with safe handling chemotherapy precaution via the internal audit established by our organization. My supervisor make sure employees use personal protective equipment by the The perception of creation of internal audit. safe working environment by My supervisor could provide employees their supervisor with practice safe handling chemotherapy precaution.

supervisor.

Interaction between employees and supervisor

Interaction with other nurses in their work unit

The perception of uses personal protective equipment The perception of clinical situation affect safety

I can use personal protective equipment even if I am busy at work. performance

- Section 3
- · There were six items in questionnaire of Polovich and Clark (2012), but not in our own (See Table 3).
- · We added the six items and submitted to content experts.

- Table 3 Items of in questionnaire of Polovich and Clark
- In my work area, I have access to policies and procedures regarding safety
- Chemotherapy gloves are readily accessible in my work area. Chemotherapy gowns are readily available in my work area.
- My work area is not crowded My work area is not cluttered
- My work area is kept clean
- Expert consultation
- · The five content experts believed the questionnaire to
- be face-valid and with its comprehensibility, specifically the wording of items, the response format and the instructions. We added four items by expert advice.
- · Face validity
- · The survey (55 items) was examined by 10 nurses who have experience of handling chemotherapy for face valid.
- · Feedback from those nurses indicated that the survey addressed issues of importance to workplace safety climate of handling chemotherapy and the instructions and wording were clear.
- Based on the face validity, slight changes were made to improve clarity. The resulting set of 53 items in six domains.

Conclusion

- . The workplace safety climate dimensions derived directly form the findings of qualitative research. . Most items were not analogous with published tool, in
 - particular the following dimension: interaction with other nurses in their work unit and the perception of uses personal protective equipment.
- Then, we will through rigorous psychometric testing. we will develop a reliable and validated scale for measuring safety climate in hospital.
- . The workplace safety climate measurement tool in hospital can be applied to evaluate healthcare providers' work environment. Results of this study can provide recommendations in nursing clinical practice. education, research and policy.

Main Reference

 DeJoy, D. M., Smith, T. D., Woldu, H., Dyal, M.-A., Steege, A. L., & Boiano, J. M. (2017). Effects of organizational safety practices and perceived safety climate on PPE usage, engineering controls, and adverse events involving liquid antineoplastic drugs among nurses. Journal of Occupational And Environmental Hygiene, 14(7), 485-493.

doi:10.1080/15459624.2017.1285496 Polovich, M., & Clark, P. C. (2012). Factors influencing oncology nurses' use of hazardous drug safe-handling precautions. Oncology Nursing Forum, 39(3), E299-309. doi:10.1188/12.ONF.F299.F309